



Genetic and demographic trends from rear to leading edge are explained by climate and forest cover in a cold adapted ectotherm

Audrey Trochet, Murielle Richard, Mahaut Victoire Sorlin, Michael Guillon, Jules Teulieres-Quillet, Clément Vallé, Cyrielle Rault, Maud Berroneau, Matthieu Berroneau, Olivier Lourdais, et al.

► To cite this version:

Audrey Trochet, Murielle Richard, Mahaut Victoire Sorlin, Michael Guillon, Jules Teulieres-Quillet, et al.. Genetic and demographic trends from rear to leading edge are explained by climate and forest cover in a cold adapted ectotherm. Diversity and Distributions, Wiley, 2021, 27 (2), pp.267-281. 10.1111/ddi.13202 . hal-03009458

HAL Id: hal-03009458

<https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03009458>

Submitted on 17 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution| 4.0 International License

Running title: Genetic changes along distribution of a lizard

Genetic and demographic trends from rear to leading edge are explained by climate and forest cover in a cold adapted ectotherm

Andréaz Dupoué ^{1,2 *}, Audrey Trochet ¹, Murielle Richard ¹, Mahaut Sorlin ¹, Michaël Guillon ^{3,4}, Jules Teulière Quillet ¹, Clément Vallé ¹, Cyrielle Rault ¹, Maud Berroneau ³, Matthieu Berroneau ³, Olivier Lourdais ⁴, Pauline Blaimont ^{5,6}, Romain Bertrand ¹, Gilles Pottier ⁷, Olivier Calvez ¹, Olivier Guillaume ¹, Hugo Le Chevalier ¹, Jérémie Souchet ¹, Jean François Le Galliard ^{3,8}, Jean Clobert ^{1 #}, Fabien Aubret ^{1,9 #}

¹ Station d'Ecologie Théorique et Expérimentale de Moulis, CNRS UMR 5321, 09200, Saint Giron, France | ² iEES Paris, Sorbonne Université, CNRS, UMR 7618, Tours 44-45, 4 place Jussieu, 75005, Paris, France | ³ Cistude Nature, Chemin du Moulinat, 33185, Le Haillan, France | ⁴ Centre d'Etudes Biologiques de Chizé - ULR CNRS UMR 7372, 79360 Beauvoir sur Niort, France | ⁵ Department of Ecology & Evolutionary Biology, University of California, Santa Cruz, 1156 High Street, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA | ⁶ Department of Biology, Rider University, 2083 Lawrenceville Road, Lawrenceville, NJ 08648, USA | ⁷ Nature en Occitanie, Maison de l'Environnement de Midi-Pyrénées, 14 rue de Tivoli, 31000 Toulouse, France | ⁸ Centre de recherche en écologie expérimentale et prédictive (CEREPE-ECOTRON IleDeFrance), Ecole normale supérieure, CNRS UMS 3194, 78 rue du château, 77140 Saint-Pierre-lès-Nemours, France | ⁹ Behavioural Ecology Lab., School of Molecular and Life Sciences, Curtin University, Brand Drive, WA 6102, Bentley, Australia

* Authors correspondence: andreaz.dupoue@gmail.com

Co-senior authors

Abstract

Aim: Determining whether altitudinal shifts in species distributions leave molecular footprints on wild populations along their range margins from rear to leading edge.

Location: South-west France.

Methods: We compared the demographic and genetic variation in 42 wild populations of the Western oviparous subclade B2 of a cold adapted lizard (*Zootoca vivipara lousislantzi*). These populations can be divided into four ecological units across altitudinal clines in South-west France (rear edge: <100 m, admixture zone: 100-500 m, continuous range: 500-1300 m and leading edge: >1300 m above sea level).

Results: Within the rear edge were found the highest levels of inbreeding, genetic differentiation and evidence of interrupted gene flow compared to central or colonising areas. Within the leading edge, altitudinal range expansion occurred over the last centuries and populations showed relatively low genetic diversity. These demographic and genetic trends were better explained by inhospitable (warm and dry) climate conditions and forest cover.

Main conclusions: This empirical evidence illustrates that molecular footprints of climate conditions and habitat quality on wild population trends can be perceived after recent events, which should be of particular importance to accurately understand and anticipate human-induced global change on wild species and ecosystems.

Keywords. Abundance, climate, colonization, ectotherm, forest cover, gene flow, inbreeding, population decline, structuration

Introduction

Biodiversity is facing a global loss of habitats resulting in altered species and biotic interactions worldwide (Ceballos et al., 2017; Plotnick et al., 2016; Wiens, 2016). Causes are multiple, often synergetic, and have various footprints from genes to ecosystems (Pech et al., 2017). As a consequence, many species' distributions are shifting (Lenoir et al., 2020; VanDerWal et al., 2013) and these range shifts have direct impacts on processes and patterns of population genetics (Carvalho et al., 2019; Hampe & Petit, 2005; Templeton et al., 2001). In particular, once populations at the rear edge become isolated, the loss of connectivity and immigration progressively leads to an increase in inbreeding through genetic drift, one of the main precursor of decline in small populations (Frankham, 2010; Hampe & Petit, 2005). In contrast, at the leading edge, newly favourable habitats should be colonized by few individuals resulting in founder events, which implies lower genetic diversity associated with small groups of emigrants and recurrent extinction events (Hampe et al., 2013; Hampe & Petit, 2005; Nadeau & Urban, 2019; Vilà-Cabrera et al., 2019; Waters et al., 2013). Studies have challenged these general assumptions since genetic diversity does not necessarily correlate with biogeography (Eckert et al., 2008; Pironon et al., 2017) nor does it explain population decline (Tobler et al., 2013). This prompts for empirically testing patterns of genetic variation and phylogenetic history along colonization gradients to better understand how population genetic diversity or structure correlate with range shifts of wild populations.

Terrestrial ectotherms are well suited organisms for this purpose since their ecology and demography tightly depend on climate conditions and landscape structure (Le Galliard et al., 2012). These organisms are characterized by a strong thermal dependence on their performance, which may explain their spatial distribution (Buckley et al., 2012). Under temperate climates, ectotherm distributions at a continental scale have been strongly influenced by past Quaternary climatic oscillations resulting in successive range expansions

and range contractions in glacial refugia (Hewitt, 2000). These historical changes must be considered to address current patterns of genetic variation at a regional scale, especially to characterise the recent impacts of climate change. Indeed, terrestrial ectotherms in their trailing range edge (low latitudes and low altitudes) are now increasingly challenged by recurrent temperatures nearing their upper thermal safety margin (Sunday et al., 2014). Despite a relatively high potential of phenotypic plasticity, once temperatures rise above maximal critical temperatures, individuals at the rear edge of the distribution are exposed to greater risks of overheating and dehydration, which can impair the activity time and incur physiological costs (Dupoué et al., 2018, 2020; Le Galliard et al., 2012; Rozen-Rechels et al., 2019; Sinervo et al., 2010). These extreme climate conditions may constrain lifetime reproductive success and/or longevity, eventually leading to a loss of reproductive individuals and population extirpation (Bestion et al., 2015; Dupoué, Rutschmann, Le Galliard, Clobert, et al., 2017; Lourdais et al., 2017).

The present comparative study assessed relative abundance and genetic profiles in natural populations of the Western oviparous subclade B2 of the common lizard (*Zootoca vivipara lousilantzi*) along an altitudinal cline. This boreal Squamate is a cold adapted specialist combining freezing tolerance to supercooling physiological adaptations (Voituron et al., 2002), as well as reproductive bimodality with distinct oviparous and viviparous populations across its distribution range (Surget-Groba et al., 2006). The viviparous form has colonized the Eurosiberian region from Western Europe to Hokkaido island in Japan being restricted by the polar circle (Surget-Groba et al., 2006). In the Southwest margin of its range, the oviparous form (*Z. v. lousilantzi*) experiences a spatially restricted distribution that has been structured across altitude most likely due to temperatures and anthropogenic habitat fragmentation. Populations were affiliated to four ecological units defined *a priori* and following the classification established by Hampe & Petit (2005). This allows for the

examination of recolonization dynamics and how multiple demographic trajectories shape genetic variation since the Last-Glacial-Maximum (LGM). In the rear edge within 100 m above sea level (ASL), man-made draining of natural habitats (peatbogs and marshes) occurred in the mid-19th century, which has isolated populations into a few suitable patches of humid areas [boggy islets (Berroneau, 2014)]. Surrounding environments became inhospitable because of human landscape modification and recent climate warming, thus hindering any emigration from these populations. Similar anthropogenic-driven isolations have occurred in the foothills of the French Pyrenees Mountains between 100 and 500 m ASL, where populations are enclaved within forest-isolated peat bogs. This area is located between populations from the rear edges and those of the continuous range, now forming the Admixture zone [*sensu* (Hampe & Petit, 2005)], a lineage mixing area between previously allopatric sub-clades (Rius & Darling, 2014). On the other hand, in its continuous range from 500 to 1,300 m ASL, populations occupy various habitat types mostly composed by river ecosystems and forest borders. Finally, populations are now expanding above 1,300 m ASL and colonizing highland meadows, which represent the leading edge.

We used microsatellite polymorphisms to assess how 42 natural populations across this cline differed in genetic structure, diversity and connectivity. We anticipated that Pyrenean ascension occurred a few times after the LGM, similar to the latitudinal expansion of the viviparous form of this species (Horreo et al., 2018). Additionally, we examined how human-induced environmental threats may additively affect lizard populations by testing the specific influence of recent climate conditions and habitat characteristics on demographic and genetic markers. Because rear edge populations are exposed to increasingly hostile (warm and dry) thermal conditions, they should also experience high levels of differentiation and inbreeding reflecting range contraction (Hampe & Petit, 2005). Conversely, in the wave front of the expanding margin, we predicted higher segregation and lower genetic diversity if

colonisation occurs through founder events (Hampe et al., 2013; Nadeau & Urban, 2019; Waters et al., 2013). Finally, we expected demographic and genetic variation to be negatively impacted by constraining climate conditions and low habitat quality. We tested this prediction by checking the influence of two climate variables (i.e., air temperature and precipitation flux) and two habitats characteristics (i.e., forest cover and wetland potential) that are known to drive ecophysiological adjustments and local adaptations in this species (Dupoué, Rutschmann, Le Galliard, Clobert, et al., 2017; Dupoué, Rutschmann, Le Galliard, Miles, et al., 2017; Lorenzon et al., 1999; Rozen-Rechels et al., in press; Rutschmann et al., 2016, 2020).

Material and methods

Sampled populations among four ecological units

We caught 627 individuals from 42 populations between April-August 2017 and in May 2018. Populations were selected and considered different based on natural barriers (rivers, forests, altitude) and a minimal 2 km plane geographic distance (~300 m of altitude differential). Following capture, lizards were swabbed in the buccal cavity (Beebee, 2008), and samples were immediately stored in TE buffer and frozen at -20°C the same day to optimize DNA conservation until extraction. In each population, we estimated the relative abundance as the number of lizards captured divided by the time spent on the population and the number of people (range: 2 – 4 persons). As a heliothermic thermoregulator, common lizards rely on solar radiation to regulate body temperature, and capture probability may depend on weather conditions. We limited this bias by sampling all populations under relatively similar weather conditions (< 50% cloud cover) during the activity peak time (10:30 - 17:30) as long as basking opportunities remained available. In addition, cloud cover (0-50

%) had no statistical influence on abundance estimate ($p = 0.626$) and a similar index provided a reliable proxy of absolute density in another study (Dupoué, Rutschmann, Le Galliard, Clobert et al., 2017). Populations were divided into the four ecological units based on their altitude thresholds as described above (100, 500, and 1,300 m) and the associated landscapes (Table S1). This included the boggy islets in the rear edge ($n = 55$ lizards among 4 populations), the forest enclaves of the admixture zone (137 lizards among 9 populations), the mixed habitats of the continuous range (242 lizards among 16 populations), and the highland meadows in the leading edge (198 lizards among 13 populations).

Climatic conditions and habitat characteristics in sampled populations

For each population, we extracted two climate variables (temperature and precipitations) of recent climate conditions from CHELSA database, where climatology is averaged between 1981 and 2005 (Karger et al., 2017, 2020) and is available at a high resolution of 30 Arcsec ($\sim 1 \text{ km}^2$). For each population, we considered the annual near-surface (2 m) air temperature (Fig. S1A) and annual cumulative precipitation flux corrected by orographic effects (Fig. S1B). We also considered two descriptors of habitat quality relevant for this species: forest cover and wetland potential. We estimated forest cover at a precision of 30 m from the aboveground live woody biomass density for the year 2000 (Fig. S1C), derived from many studies (<http://www.globalforestwatch.org/>). Wetland potential (the probability for the habitat to be a humid zone ranging from 0 to 3; 0: dry environments; 1: good probability of wetland area; 2: strong probability of wetland area; 3: very strong probability of wetland area) was obtained at a precision of 50 m (Fig. S1D), calculated from pedological and hydrogeological information (Berthier et al., 2014). This index provides a continuous measure of the hydric environment in each population, which fitted well with our direct observation in the field (Dupoué, *pers. obs.*).

Genetic data

Genomic DNA was extracted from swabs using the DNeasy 96 Blood and Tissue Purification Kit (QiagenTM, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. We amplified sixteen microsatellite markers (Table S2) in 5 μ l reaction volumes with 1 μ l of PCR master Kit (MPBiochemicals) and 5-10ng of template DNA. PCR products were run on an ABI 3730 DNA Analyser (Applied Biosystems) with Genescan-600Liz size standard. Genotyping was performed with Genemapper 5.0 (Applied Biosystems). We performed an initial examination on the dataset to retain the loci with limited allele dropout (< 18% of missing data). Ambiguous genotypes were amplified and sized a second time. Out of 16 initial loci, 5 loci were rejected from further analyses due to an excess of missing data (Table S2). Null alleles and linkage disequilibrium among remaining loci was tested using GENEPOP 4.7.0 (Rousset, 2008) following 10,000 iterations. The 11 loci did not present significant evidence for null alleles (Table S2), nor linkage disequilibrium (Table S3) and were used in the following analyses. We used COLONY software (Jones & Wang, 2010) to identify and remove relatives in each population (Table S4) given that they may alter subsequent analyses (Rodríguez-Ramilo & Wang, 2012). The final dataset included n = 599 individuals.

Statistical analyses

Genetic metrics

We used R software (R Development Core Team 2015) and the *DiveRsity* R-package (Keenan et al., 2013) to estimate genetic diversity in each population including expected heterozygosity (H_e), observed heterozygosity (H_o), deviation test to Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE), and allelic richness (A_r). We used the same package to estimate heterozygote deficit within a population (F_{IS}) and genetic differentiation between populations (F_{ST}) after 1,000 bootstraps. In all these analyses, we excluded one population (Lagunas, Table S1) given that the sample size (n = 6 lizards) was too small to obtain reliable estimates of population genetic diversity. We used the *poppr* R-package (Kamvar et al., 2015) to

analyse the molecular variance (AMOVA) and check how variation in genetic diversity differed i) among ecological units, ii) among or within populations, and iii) within individuals. We used the program BOTTLENECK (Piry et al., 1999) to check if any populations experienced a recent bottleneck. Shortly after a bottleneck event, heterozygosity increases more rapidly than allelic diversity (Piry et al., 1999). The program tests the heterozygosity excess and compares H_o and H_e (Wilcoxon test) after 1,000 iterations. We considered a two phase model including a 95% of stepwise mutation model and a variance of 12 as recommended (Piry et al., 1999).

Genetic structure

We used the Bayesian clustering analysis of the program STRUCTURE 2.3.3 (Pritchard et al., 2000) to determine whether populations could be regrouped without *a priori* information. We determined how many clusters (K) best fit with our data, based on ΔK method (Earl & VonHoldt, 2012). To do so, we ran 10 independent simulations for each K ranging from 1 to 42, with 500,000 iterations and a burn-in period of 100,000 steps. We adjusted the burn-in period to 200,000 steps in another simulation with K ranging from 1 to 10, to check that the initial burn-in period was sufficiently long to ensure model convergence. We compared two outputs (alpha and likelihood) from the program, illustrating relatively few admixtures between population (alpha < 1) and good model convergence (Fig. S2). We estimated structuration of each population as the highest probability (maximal Q-value) for an individual to be affiliated to a cluster (Fig. 1).

We complemented this analysis by using another method for the examination of population structure. We considered a method based on Discriminant Analysis of Principal Component (DAPC) proposed by Jombart et al., (2010) and implemented in the *adegenet* R package (Jombart, 2008). This multivariate method generates models for a range of K clusters and calculate Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) after 10,000 iterations (Jombart et al.,

2010). Optimal K is chosen following a stepping stones approach, for the minimum K when BIC decreases by a negligible amount (Fig. S3).

Genetic connectivity

We examined the degree of isolation-by-distance (IBD) using Mantel tests implemented in the *adeigenet* R package. We compared the relationships between pairwise genetic distances and pairwise Euclidian geographic distances either globally (i.e., analysis on the entire dataset) or locally (i.e., analyses within each ecological unit). We considered chord genetic distances (D_C) between populations defined by (Cavalli-Sforza & Edwards, 1967), since these are more likely to retrieve correct relations among populations (Takezaki & Nei, 1996). P-values were generated by comparing observed and predicted distributions after 1,000 iterations.

Effect of environmental conditions on demography and genetic diversity

We used AICc based model selection and averaging from the *MuMIn* R-package (Barton, 2019) to examine the additive impacts of climate conditions and habitat characteristics on lizard abundance (calculated from field data) and genetic diversity [calculated with *DiveRsity* R package (Keenan et al., 2013)]. We ran four independent sets of 15 linear mixed model [R package *nlme* (Pinheiro et al., 2016)] comparisons, using either demographic (lizard abundance) or genetic markers (A_r , F_{IS} and F_{ST}) as response variables, and environmental covariates alone or in addition (see models detailed in Table 1). Level of correlation between environmental variables was below 0.42, thus limiting the risk of multicollinearity issue (Dormann et al., 2013). In all models, ecological unit was set as a random term to account for spatial interdependence of populations. For each demographic or genetic parameter, we inferred the best models from the AICc score [$\Delta AICc < 2$ (Burnham & Anderson, 2002)], and calculated the conditional model average to test for effect size and significance of fixed co-

variates. We verified that residuals of all selected models (Table 1) respected normality conditions (Shapiro test: all $p > 0.104$).

Pyrenean colonisation scenarios

We used Approximate Bayesian Computation (ABC) to test different Pyrenean colonisation scenarios using DIYABC 2.1.0 (Cornuet et al., 2010). First, we determined if variation in population genetics within and between ecological units coincided to an ancient or a recent Pyrenean colonisation. We followed the same methodology as described in (Ursenbacher et al., 2015) and generated $3 \cdot 10^6$ simulations to compare the posterior probabilities (logistic regression) of three scenarios (Fig. 2) including: a simultaneous split among the four ecological units (scenario 1), an altitudinal progressive colonisation (scenario 2) and a simultaneous split in the Pyrenees regions differing from the rear edge (scenario 3). We restricted the number of tested scenarios by ordering ecological units based on their altitudinal class (Fig. 2). However, we did not test for scenarios with higher proximity between the rear and the leading edge compared to others units since it has no biological meaning. Once the most likely scenario was identified, we further ran $4 \cdot 10^6$ iterations to estimate the parameters (effective population size: N , and divergence time: t). We defined $N1 < N2 < N3 > N4$ and $t3 > t2 > t1$ as conditional priors (Fig. 2) and we considered the mean number of alleles, the mean gene diversity, the mean size variance, and, between two samples, the F_{ST} , the mean index of classification, the shared allele distance, and the $(d\mu)^2$ distance as summary statistics. We checked the performance of each ABC analysis (scenario comparison and parameters estimation) by simulating 500 pseudo-observed datasets, comparing their posterior distribution, and compiling relative error (Cornuet et al., 2010). We used a generation time of 3 years based on the equation $T = \alpha + [s/(1-s)]$ (Lande et al., 2003) where α is the age at maturity and s is the annual survival rate. Survival and age at maturity are likely to vary along altitude (Heulin et al., 1997), so we considered adult survival rate of 0.51 (low and

intermediate altitudes) or 0.32 (high altitudes) and a recruitment in reproduction at one year old (low and intermediate altitudes) or 2 years old (high altitudes).

Results

Genetic diversity

Analyses of molecular variance showed that genetic diversity varied mostly within individuals (86.8%), but a substantial and significant part of variation was also explained within populations (4.1%) and between populations (7.4%) followed by a minor variation between ecological units (1.7%, see Table S5). Within population diversity and population differentiation varied among ecological units (A_r : $F_{3,37} = 3.7$, $p = 0.020$; F_{IS} : $F_{3,37} = 4.6$, $p = 0.008$; F_{ST} : $F_{3,37} = 4.2$, $p = 0.012$). A_r was the highest in the admixture zone and F_{IS} were highest in the rear edge and decreased along altitudinal clines (Fig. 3a & 3b). F_{ST} was higher in both the rear and leading edge but the lowest in the admixture zone (Fig. 3c). We did not find any evidence for a recent bottleneck ($p > 0.216$), except in one population (BTM, $p = 0.002$).

Genetic structure

Both the Bayesian spatial modelling of genetic population structure and the ordination method gathered populations into $K = 6$ clusters (Table S6 & Fig. S2). Clustering was relatively heterogeneous between and within ecological units, and rather dependent on population locality (Fig. 1). Yet, structuration (i.e., the probability for an individual to be affiliated to a cluster) differed significantly between ecological units ($F_{3,38} = 3.5$, $p = 0.024$) since there was a lower structuration in the admixture zone compared to the 3 other ecological units (pairwise comparisons, all $p < 0.032$).

Genetic isolation

Isolation by distance (IBD) was highly significant globally ($p = 0.001$, Fig. 4a). Locally however, IBD differed among ecological units and according to isolation level. IBD was non-significant at both the rear edge ($p = 0.276$, Fig. 4b) and the admixture zone ($p = 0.320$, Fig. 4c), where all pairwise geographic distances were below 60 km. Instead, significant IBD was found in both the continuous range ($p = 0.006$, Fig. 4d) and the leading edge ($p = 0.003$, Fig. 4e) where many pairwise geographic distances were above 60 km.

Effect of past and present environmental conditions on demography and genetic diversity

Spatial variation in lizard abundance was correlated solely to air temperature (Table 1, Fig. 5a), with the most scattered populations associated to warm environments. A_r was the lowest under warm and dry climates, and in open habitats (Table 1, Fig. 5b). Variation in both F_{IS} and F_{ST} were better explained by additive constraints in temperature and forest cover (Table 1, Fig. 5c & 5d). That is, inbreeding and differentiation is higher in warm and open habitats (Table 1).

Pyrenean colonisation scenarios

The most likely scenario was the colonization scenario 2 with a posterior probability of 0.86 ± 0.04 (Fig. 2). We compared logistic distribution in 500 simulated datasets to estimate a global posterior predictive error of 0.17, a type I error (probability of rejecting scenario 2 when it is the correct scenario) of 0.33 and a type II error (probability of choosing scenario 2 when it is an incorrect scenario) of 0.22. Effective population size considerably varied between ecological units ranging from 1,500 in the leading edge to 9,000 reproductive individuals in the continuous range (Table 2). The split between the rear edge and the other populations occurred 750 generations ago, thereby placing the start of the Pyrenean ascension to around 2,000 years ago (Table 2). The divergence in the other ecological units occurred very recently

in the last millennium, with evidence of high elevation colonization between 100 and 700 years before present (Table 2).

Discussion

Genetic diversity was relatively high amongst lizards or across populations in the geographic area and reasonably follows the theoretical predictions of the rear-leading edges model of population genetic diversity and structure (Hampe et al., 2013; Hampe & Petit, 2005). That is, populations at the rear edge experience strong levels of inbreeding (loss of heterozygosity). Associated with this, pairwise genetic distances were not correlated to geographic distances neither within the rear edge nor in the admixture zone, probably due to recently interrupted gene flow. From the continuous range to the leading edge, populations are mostly isolated by distance, which suggests continuous distance-limited gene flow over time. However, lower allelic richness and high differentiation in the leading edge populations imply that they likely originated via small groups of immigrants through founder events (Hampe et al., 2013; Nadeau & Urban, 2019; Waters et al., 2013). Lower inbreeding levels in these colonized areas might for instance reflect a purge of inbred individuals during recruitment (Hampe et al., 2013), since this species can optimise mate choice for that purpose (Richard et al., 2009).

Our results provide new insights on genetic diversity and structuring of the subclade B2 of *Zootoca vivipara*. Concomitantly with the geographic distribution of six genetic units highlighted here, previous studies have shown that the strong genetic structuration of the clade B is strongly shaped by mountain barriers and phylogeography (Horreo, Breedveld, et al., 2019; Horreo, Peláez, et al., 2019; Milá et al., 2013). Genetic introgression between southern Pyrenees (in Spain) and northern Pyrenees (in France) subclades is also common

among high altitude populations following secondary contacts associated with range shifts in warmer climates (Horreo, Breedveld, et al., 2019; Milá et al., 2013). This pattern of genetic introgression in the high elevation mountain range may explain why a population such as ARA sampled at high altitude and near a contact zone (Table S1) may have its own genetic structure. In our study, we further found that the highest allelic richness and lowest differentiation or structuration was found in the admixture zone, as this area may represent a mixing zone between genetic lineages of the rear edge populations and those from the continuous range (Hampe & Petit, 2005). Yet, mixing between populations arises despite obvious isolation and interrupted gene flow. Therefore, we hypothesize this specific pattern in the admixture zone to reflect ancient (structuration) versus recent (isolation) events caused by climate conditions and habitat fragmentation (Päckert et al., 2019). Surprisingly however, we found little evidence for bottlenecks (only one population likely due to a local isolation by a road), contrary to Horreo, Peláez, et al., (2019) who documented recent bottlenecks and significant heterozygote deficiencies in most clade B populations. This difference can be caused by methodological bias [$n = 11$ loci in the present study, $n = 28$ loci in (Horreo, Peláez, et al., 2019)] and a resulting lack of statistical power to detect recent bottlenecks. Further investigations including more microsatellites will help to better track recent genetic changes in the studied area.

A complementary study of the clade B populations further suggested that recent global warming may explain low migration rates and recent bottlenecks (Horreo, Peláez, et al., 2019). In support of a scenario of range contraction and expansion together with genetic structuring shaped by global changes, we showed here that climate conditions and forest cover explained additively variation in lizard demography and population genetics. Specifically, warmer temperatures in lowland populations were associated with lower lizard abundance and higher levels of inbreeding and genetic differentiation. Therefore, in addition

to relatively low effective population size, populations at the hot margin of the distribution may be at greater risk of extinction (Cornetti et al., 2015; Templeton et al., 2001). Indeed, comparative studies in contemporary populations of the viviparous form of this species have shown that heat and drought represent important causal determinants of physiological stress, reduction of behavioural activity and eventually population collapse (Bestion et al., 2015; Dupoué et al., 2018, 2020; Dupoué, Rutschmann, Le Galliard, Clobert, et al., 2017; Massot et al., 2008). Furthermore, forest cover systematically exhibited an additive influence on within-population diversity, inbreeding, and genetic differentiation. That is, contemporary populations located in the most open habitats exhibit higher inbreeding under warm climates (low altitude) and experience poorer allelic richness and higher differentiation under cold climates (high altitude). Although this species typically occupies open habitats (meadows, peat-bogs, heathlands) to perform daily activities, mixed habitats with proximity to dense forests might represent more intact habitat landscapes. In addition, lizards in populations next to or mixed with forest patches are better capable of adjusting their breeding phenology (Rutschmann et al., 2016) and their thermoregulation behaviour (Rozen-Rechels et al., in press). Mixed forest habitats might therefore allow lizards to buffer negative consequences of extreme weather events. Our population genetics study thus suggests that this cold-adapted ectotherm may maintain small populations, especially at low altitudes, depending on proximity to forest cover, possibly serving as ‘thermal refuges’ during resting periods. Hence, the preservation of these habitats might represent a key conservation action to protect this lizard and the associated cortege of species (Jofré et al., 2016). There is now a critical need for developing genetically informed ecological niche models to better predict future species distributions under cumulative constraints of climate change and landscape structuration (Carvalho et al., 2019; Ikeda et al., 2017; Razgour et al., 2019).

Our results also revealed that the altitudinal colonisation of the Pyrenees started over the past two millennia (< 2 kya), much more recently than we expected (~ 10 kya following the LGM). Splits were particularly recent between the foothill and upward populations (~ 400 years), and between highland populations (~ 100 years), thus confirming a rapid and recent range expansion. Errors in scenario selection and parameters estimations were relatively low and in the range of acceptable error to be confident in observed results (Cornuet et al., 2010). The most likely and parsimonious scenario for such recent ascension relates to the combined effects of habitat restructuration and recent climate change. Following the LGM, the present continuous range and leading edges were likely impassable for such a heliothermic lizard given that above 1,000 m, the Pyrenees were at the time entirely covered by dense pine forest (Davasse et al., 1996). As unravelled by palynology, this area was mostly deforested during the Middle Ages to develop pastoralism (Galop et al., 2003; Zanon et al., 2018), which probably opened new habitats and a new climbing path for this species. Additionally, climate conditions in the Pyrenees likely became suitable only after the Little Ice Age when the mountain glaciers retreated starting since approximately 1850. Our findings are consistent with similar insights related to the recent genetic differentiation (~ 200 years) in the same geographic area of the Pyrenean Desman (*Galemys pyrenaicus*), an endemic semiaquatic mammal affiliated to rivers and streams (Gillet et al., 2017).

Retracing population history of wild species is important if we are going to correctly interpret current distributions and foresee future impacts of global warming on demographic trends. In short, this study provides a rare example supporting general theoretical predictions stemming from the rear-leading edge conceptual framework (Hampe et al., 2013; Hampe & Petit, 2005; Nadeau & Urban, 2019; Razgour et al., 2013; Vilà-Cabrera et al., 2019; Waters et al., 2013). Rear edge populations showed the alarming lowest genetic diversity and highest inbreeding, interrupted gene flows and lower population size, which put them at greater risk

of extinction. Ground-dwelling ectotherms usually display relatively low dispersal rates (Stevens et al., 2014), yet our study challenged this generality and suggested fast colonisation capabilities in the common lizard likely facilitated by past habitat connectivity. Together, our results therefore illustrate the importance of integrating genetic diversity, differentiation and colonisation capabilities to accurately characterise and anticipate the potential footprints of global change on wild species and ecosystems.

References

- Barton, K. (2019). *MuMIn: Multi-Model Inference*. R Package Version 1.43.6. <https://cran.r-project.org/package=MuMIn>
- Beebee, T. J. C. (2008). Buccal swabbing as a source of DNA from squamate reptiles. *Conservation Genetics*, 9(4), 1087–1088. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10592-007-9464-2>
- Berroneau, M. (2014). Lézard vivipare *Zootoca vivipara* (Lichtenstein, 1823). In C. Nature (Ed.), *Atlas des amphibiens et reptiles d'Aquitaine* (pp. 106–109). Association Cistude Nature.
- Berthier, L., Bardy, M., Chenu, J.-P., Guzmova, L., Laroche, B., Lehmann, S., Lemercier, B., Martin, M., Mérot, P., Squvidant, H., Thiry, E., & Walter, C. (2014). *Enveloppes des milieux potentiellement humides de la France métropolitaine - notice d'accompagnement. Programme de modélisation des milieux potentiellement humides de France*. Ministère d'Ecologie, du Développement Durable et de l'Energie.
- Bestion, E., Teyssier, A., Richard, M., Clobert, J., & Cote, J. (2015). Live fast, die young: Experimental evidence of population extinction risk due to climate change. *PLoS Biology*, 13(10), e1002281. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1002281>
- Buckley, L. B., Hurlbert, A. H., & Jetz, W. (2012). Broad-scale ecological implications of

ectothermy and endothermy in changing environments. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 21(9), 873–885. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2011.00737.x>

Burnham, K. P., & Anderson, D. R. (2002). *Model selection and multimodel inference: a practical information-theoretic approach*, 2nd edn. Springer.

Carvalho, S. B., Torres, J., Tarroso, P., & Velo-Antón, G. (2019). Genes on the edge: A framework to detect genetic diversity imperiled by climate change. *Global Change Biology*, 25(12), 4034–4047. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14740>

Cavalli-Sforza, L. L., & Edwards, A. W. F. (1967). Phylogenetic analysis models and estimation procedures. *American Journal of Human Genetics*, 19(012), 233–257.

Ceballos, G., Ehrlich, P. R., & Dirzo, R. (2017). Biological annihilation via the ongoing sixth mass extinction signaled by vertebrate population losses and declines. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 114(30), E6089–E6096. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1704949114>

Cornetti, L., Ficetola, G. F., Hoban, S., & Vernesi, C. (2015). Genetic and ecological data reveal species boundaries between viviparous and oviparous lizard lineages. *Heredity*, 115(6), 517–526. <https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2015.54>

Cornuet, J. M., Ravigné, V., & Estoup, A. (2010). Inference on population history and model checking using DNA sequence and microsatellite data with the software DIYABC (v1.0). *BMC Bioinformatics*, 11, 401. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-11-401>

Davasse, B., Galop, D., & Rendu, C. (1996). Paysages du Néolithique à nos jours dans les Pyrénées de l'est d'après l'écologie historique et l'archéologie pastorale. *XVIIe Rencontres Internationales d'archéologie et d'histoire d'Antibes*, 577–599.

Dormann, C. F., Elith, J., Bacher, S., Buchmann, C., Carl, G., Carré, G., Marquéz, J. R. G.,

Gruber, B., Lafourcade, B., Leitão, P. J., Münkemüller, T., McClean, C., Osborne, P. E.,
Reineking, B., Schröder, B., Skidmore, A. K., Zurell, D., & Lautenbach, S. (2013).
Collinearity: A review of methods to deal with it and a simulation study evaluating their
performance. *Ecography*, 36(1), 027–046. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0587.2012.07348.x)
0587.2012.07348.x

Dupoué, A., Blaimont, P., Rozen-Rechels, D., Richard, M., Meylan, S., Clobert, J., Miles, D.
B., Martin, R., Decencièrre, B., Agostini, S., & Le Galliard, J.-F. (2020). Water
availability and temperature induce changes in oxidative status during pregnancy in a
viviparous lizard. *Functional Ecology*, 34(2), 475–485. [https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13481)
2435.13481

Dupoué, A., Rutschmann, A., Le Galliard, J.-F., Clobert, J., Angelier, F., Marciau, C., Ruault,
S., Miles, D. B., & Meylan, S. (2017). Shorter telomeres precede population extinction in
wild lizards. *Scientific Reports*, 7, 16976. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-17323-z>

Dupoué, A., Rutschmann, A., Le Galliard, J.-F., Clobert, J., Blaimont, P., Sinervo, B., Miles,
D. B., Haussy, C., & Meylan, S. (2018). Reduction of baseline corticosterone secretion
correlates with climate warming and drying across wild lizard populations. *Journal of*
Animal Ecology, 87(5), 1331–1341. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12843>

Dupoué, A., Rutschmann, A., Le Galliard, J.-F., Miles, D. B., Clobert, J., DeNardo, D. F.,
Brusch, G. A., & Meylan, S. (2017). Water availability and environmental temperature
correlate with geographic variation in water balance in common lizards. *Oecologia*, 185,
561–571. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-017-3973-6>

Earl, D. A., & VonHoldt, B. M. (2012). STRUCTURE HARVESTER: A website and
program for visualizing STRUCTURE output and implementing the Evanno method.
Conservation Genetics Resources, 4(2), 359–361. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12686-011->

- Eckert, C. G., Samis, K. E., & Loughheed, S. C. (2008). Genetic variation across species' geographical ranges: The central-marginal hypothesis and beyond. *Molecular Ecology*, 17(5), 1170–1188. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2007.03659.x>
- Frankham, R. (2010). Inbreeding in the wild really does matter. *Heredity*, 104(2), 124–124. <https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2009.155>
- Galop, D., Mazier, F., López Sáez, J. A., & Vannière, B. (2003). Palynologie et histoire des activités humaines en milieu montagnard. Bilan provisoire des recherches et nouvelles orientations méthodologiques sur le versant nord des Pyrénées. *Archéologie Du Midi Médiéval*, 21(1), 159–170. <https://doi.org/10.3406/amime.2003.1407>
- Gillet, F., Cabria Garrido, M. T., Blanc, F., Fournier-Chambrillon, C., Némoy, M., Sourp, E., Vial-Novella, C., Zardoya, R., Aulagnier, S., & Michaux, J. R. (2017). Evidence of fine-scale genetic structure for the endangered Pyrenean desman (*Galemys pyrenaicus*) in the French Pyrenees. *Journal of Mammalogy*, 98(2), 523–532. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jmammal/gyx002>
- Hampe, A., Pemonge, M. H., & Petit, R. J. (2013). Efficient mitigation of founder effects during the establishment of a leading-edge oak population. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 280(1764), 20131070. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2013.1070>
- Hampe, A., & Petit, R. J. (2005). Conserving biodiversity under climate change: the rear edge matters. *Ecology Letters*, 8(5), 461–467. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2005.00739.x>
- Heulin, B., Osenegg-Leconte, K., & Michel, D. (1997). Demography of a bimodal

reproductive species of lizard (*Lacerta vivipara*): survival and density characteristics of oviparous populations. *Herpetologica*, 53(4), 432–444.

Hewitt, G. (2000). The genetic legacy of the quaternary ice ages. *Nature*, 405(6789), 907–913. <https://doi.org/10.1038/35016000>

Horreo, J. L., Breedveld, M. C., Lindtke, D., Heulin, B., Surget-Groba, Y., & Fitze, P. S. (2019). Genetic introgression among differentiated clades is lower among clades exhibiting different parity modes. *Heredity*, 123(2), 264–272. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41437-019-0201-7>

Horreo, J. L., Peláez, M. L., Breedveld, M. C., Suárez, T., Urieta, M., & Fitze, P. S. (2019). Population structure of the oviparous South-West European common lizard. *European Journal of Wildlife Research*, 65(1), 11. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10344-018-1242-6>

Horreo, J. L., Pelaez, M. L., Suárez, T., Breedveld, M. C., Heulin, B., Surget-Groba, Y., Oksanen, T. A., & Fitze, P. S. (2018). Phylogeography, evolutionary history and effects of glaciations in a species (*Zootoca vivipara*) inhabiting multiple biogeographic regions. *Journal of Biogeography*, 45(7), 1616–1627. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.13349>

Ikeda, D. H., Max, T. L., Allan, G. J., Lau, M. K., Shuster, S. M., & Whitham, T. G. (2017). Genetically informed ecological niche models improve climate change predictions. *Global Change Biology*, 23(1), 164–176. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13470>

Jofré, G. M., Warn, M. R., & Reading, C. J. (2016). The role of managed coniferous forest in the conservation of reptiles. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 362, 69–78. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2015.11.044>

Jombart, T. (2008). adegenet: A R package for the multivariate analysis of genetic markers. *Bioinformatics*, 24(11), 1403–1405. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btn129>

527 Jombart, T., Devillard, S., & Balloux, F. (2010). Discriminant analysis of principal
528 components: a new method for the analysis of genetically structured populations. *BMC*
529 *Genetics*, 11, 94. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2156-11-94>

530 Jones, O. R., & Wang, J. (2010). COLONY: A program for parentage and sibship inference
531 from multilocus genotype data. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 10(3), 551–555.
532 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2009.02787.x>

533 Kamvar, Z. N., Brooks, J. C., & Grünwald, N. J. (2015). Novel R tools for analysis of
534 genome-wide population genetic data with emphasis on clonality. *Frontiers in Genetics*,
535 6(JUN), 208. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2015.00208>

536 Karger, D. N., Conrad, O., Böhrer, J., Kawohl, T., Kreft, H., Soria-Auza, R. W.,
537 Zimmermann, N. E., Linder, H. P., & Kessler, M. (2017). Climatologies at high
538 resolution for the earth’s land surface areas. *Scientific Data*, 4, 170122.
539 <https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2017.122>

540 Karger, D. N., Dabaghchian, B., Lange, S., Thuiller, W., Zimmermann, N. E., & Graham, C.
541 H. (2020). High resolution climate data for Europe. In *EnviDat* (Version 1.0).
542 <https://doi.org/doi:10.16904/envidat.150>

543 Keenan, K., McGinnity, P., Cross, T. F., Crozier, W. W., & Prodöhl, P. A. (2013). DiveRsity:
544 An R package for the estimation and exploration of population genetics parameters and
545 their associated errors. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 4(8), 782–788.
546 <https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12067>

547 Lande, R., Engen, S., & Saether, B. E. (2003). *Stochastic population dynamics in ecology and*
548 *conservation*. Oxford University Press.

549 Le Galliard, J.-F., Massot, M., Baron, J. P., & Clobert, J. (2012). Ecological effects of climate

550 change on European reptiles. In J. Brodie, E. Post, & D. Doak (Eds.), *Wildlife*
551 *conservation in a changing climate* (pp. 179–203). University of Chicago Press.

552 Lenoir, J., Bertrand, R., Comte, L., Bourgeaud, L., Hattab, T., Murienne, J., & Grenouillet, G.
553 (2020). Species better track the shifting isotherms in the oceans than on lands. *Nature*
554 *Ecology and Evolution*, 4, 1044–1059. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-020-1198-2>

555 Lorenzon, P., Clobert, J., Oppliger, A., & John-Alder, H. (1999). Effect of water constraint on
556 growth rate , activity and body temperature of yearling common lizard (*Lacerta*
557 *vivipara*). *Oecologia*, 118(4), 423–430. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s004420050744>

558 Lourdais, O., Dupoué, A., Guillon, M., Guiller, G., Michaud, B., & DeNardo, D. F. (2017).
559 Hydric “costs” of reproduction: pregnancy increases evaporative water loss in the snake
560 *Vipera aspis*. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*, 90(6), 663–672.
561 <https://doi.org/10.1086/694848>

562 Massot, M., Clobert, J., & Ferrière, R. (2008). Climate warming, dispersal inhibition and
563 extinction risk. *Global Change Biology*, 14(3), 461–469. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01514.x)
564 [2486.2007.01514.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01514.x)

565 Milá, B., Surget-Groba, Y., Heulin, B., Gosá, A., & Fitze, P. S. (2013). Multilocus
566 phylogeography of the common lizard *Zootoca vivipara* at the Ibero-Pyrenean suture
567 zone reveals lowland barriers and high-elevation introgression. *BMC Evolutionary*
568 *Biology*, 13(1), 192. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-13-192>

569 Nadeau, C. P., & Urban, M. C. (2019). Eco-evolution on the edge during climate change.
570 *Ecography*, 42(7), 1280–1297. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.04404>

571 Päckert, M., Ait Belkacem, A., Wolfgramm, H., Gast, O., Canal, D., Giacalone, G., Lo Valvo,
572 M., Vamberger, M., Wink, M., Martens, J., & Stuckas, H. (2019). Genetic admixture

573 despite ecological segregation in a North African sparrow hybrid zone (Aves,
 574 Passeriformes, *Passer domesticus* × *Passer hispaniolensis*). *Ecology and Evolution*,
 575 9(22), 12710–12726. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.5744>

576 Pecl, G. T., Araújo, M. B., Bell, J. D., Blanchard, J., Bonebrake, T. C., Chen, I.-C., Clark, T.
 577 D., Colwell, R. K., Danielsen, F., Evengård, B., Falconi, L., Ferrier, S., Frusher, S.,
 578 Garcia, R. A., Griffis, R. B., Hobday, A. J., Janion-Scheepers, C., Jarzyna, M. A.,
 579 Jennings, S., ... Williams, S. E. (2017). Biodiversity redistribution under climate change:
 580 Impacts on ecosystems and human well-being. *Science*, 355(6332), eaai9214.
 581 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aai9214>

582 Pinheiro, J., Bates, D., DebRoy, S., Sarkar, D., & R, C. T. (2016). *nlme: Linear and*
 583 *Nonlinear Mixed Effects Models* (R package version 3.1-128). [http://cran.r-](http://cran.r-project.org/package=nlme)
 584 [project.org/package=nlme](http://cran.r-project.org/package=nlme)

585 Pironon, S., Papuga, G., Villellas, J., Angert, A. L., García, M. B., & Thompson, J. D. (2017).
 586 Geographic variation in genetic and demographic performance: new insights from an old
 587 biogeographical paradigm. *Biological Reviews*, 92(4), 1877–1909.
 588 <https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12313>

589 Piry, S., Luikart, G., & Cornuet, J.-M. (1999). BOTTLENECK: A computer program for
 590 detecting recent reductions in the effective population size using allele frequency data.
 591 *Journal of Heredity*, 90(4), 502–503. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jhered/90.4.502>

592 Plotnick, R. E., Smith, F. A., & Lyons, S. K. (2016). The fossil record of the sixth extinction.
 593 *Ecology Letters*, 19(5), 546–553. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12589>

594 Pritchard, J. K., Stephens, M., & Donnelly, P. (2000). Inference of population structure using
 595 multilocus genotype data. *Genetics*, 155(2), 945–959.

596 Razgour, O., Forester, B., Taggart, J. B., Bekaert, M., Juste, J., Ibáñez, C., Puechmaille, S. J.,
597 Novella-Fernandez, R., Alberdi, A., & Manel, S. (2019). Considering adaptive genetic
598 variation in climate change vulnerability assessment reduces species range loss
599 projections. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*
600 *America*, 116(21), 10418–10423. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1820663116>

601 Razgour, O., Juste, J., Ibáñez, C., Kiefer, A., Rebelo, H., Puechmaille, S. J., Arlettaz, R.,
602 Burke, T., Dawson, D. A., Beaumont, M., & Jones, G. (2013). The shaping of genetic
603 variation in edge-of-range populations under past and future climate change. *Ecology*
604 *Letters*, 16(10), 1258–1266. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12158>

605 Richard, M., Losdat, S., Lecomte, J., De Fraipont, M., & Clobert, J. (2009). Optimal level of
606 inbreeding in the common lizard. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological*
607 *Sciences*, 276(1668), 2779–2786. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2009.0319>

608 Rius, M., & Darling, J. A. (2014). How important is intraspecific genetic admixture to the
609 success of colonising populations? *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 29(4), 233–242.
610 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2014.02.003>

611 Rodríguez-Ramilo, S. T., & Wang, J. (2012). The effect of close relatives on unsupervised
612 Bayesian clustering algorithms in population genetic structure analysis. *Molecular*
613 *Ecology Resources*, 12(5), 873–884. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2012.03156.x>

614 Rousset, F. (2008). GENEPOP'007: A complete re-implementation of the GENEPOP
615 software for Windows and Linux. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 8(1), 103–106.
616 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.01931.x>

617 Rozen-Rechels, D., Dupoué, A., Lourdaïs, O., Chamaillé-Jammes, S., Meylan, S., Clobert, J.,
618 & Le Galliard, J.-F. (2019). When water interacts with temperature: Ecological and
619 evolutionary implications of thermo-hydroregulation in terrestrial ectotherms. *Ecology*

620 *and Evolution*, 9(17), 10029–10043. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.5440>

621 Rozen-Rechels, D., Rutschmann, A., Dupoué, A., Blaimont, P., Chauveau, V., Miles, D. B.,
622 Guillon, M., Richard, M., Badiane, A., Meylan, S., Clobert, J., & Le Galliard, J. (n.d.).
623 Interaction of hydric and thermal conditions drive geographic variation in
624 thermoregulation in a widespread lizard. *Ecological Monographs*.

625 Rutschmann, A., Miles, D. B., Le Galliard, J.-F., Richard, M., Moulherat, S., Sinervo, B., &
626 Clobert, J. (2016). Climate and habitat interact to shape the thermal reaction norms of
627 breeding phenology across lizard populations. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 85(2), 457–
628 466. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12473>

629 Rutschmann, A., Rozen-Rechels, D., Dupoué, A., Blaimont, P., de Villemereuil, P., Miles, D.
630 B., Richard, M., & Clobert, J. (2020). Climate dependent heating efficiency in the
631 common lizard. *Ecology and Evolution*, 10(15), 8007–8017.
632 <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.6241>

633 Sinervo, B., Mendez-de-la-Cruz, F., Miles, D. B., Heulin, B., Bastiaans, E., Villagrán-Santa
634 Cruz, M., Lara-Resendiz, R., Martinez-Mendez, N., Calderón-Espinosa, M. L., Meza-
635 Lázaro, R. N., Gadsden, H., Avila, L. J., Morando, M., De la Riva, I. J., Victoriano
636 Sepulveda, P., Rocha, C. F. D., Ibargüengoytía, N. R., Aguilar Puntriano, C., Massot,
637 M., ... Sites, J. W. (2010). Erosion of lizard diversity by climate change and altered
638 thermal niches. *Science*, 328, 894–899. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1184695>

639 Stevens, V. M., Whitmee, S., Le Galliard, J.-F., Clobert, J., Böhning-Gaese, K., Bonte, D.,
640 Brändle, M., Matthias Dehling, D., Hof, C., Trochet, A., & Baguette, M. (2014). A
641 comparative analysis of dispersal syndromes in terrestrial and semi-terrestrial animals.
642 *Ecology Letters*, 17(8), 1039–1052. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12303>

643 Sunday, J. M., Bates, A. E., Kearney, M. R., Colwell, R. K., Dulvy, N. K., Longino, J. T., &

- Huey, R. B. (2014). Thermal-safety margins and the necessity of thermoregulatory behavior across latitude and elevation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 111(15), 5610–5615.
<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1316145111>
- Surget-Groba, Y., Heulin, B., Guillaume, C.-P., Puky, M., Semenov, D., Orlova, V., Kupriyanova, L., Ghira, I., & Smajda, B. (2006). Multiple origins of viviparity, or reversal from viviparity to oviparity? The European common lizard (*Zootoca vivipara*, Lacertidae) and the evolution of parity. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 87(1996), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8312.2006.00552.x>
- Takezaki, N., & Nei, M. (1996). Genetic distances and reconstruction of phylogenetic trees from microsatellite DNA. *Genetics*, 144(October), 389–399.
- Templeton, A. R., Robertson, R. J., Brisson, J., & Strasburg, J. (2001). Disrupting evolutionary processes: The effect of habitat fragmentation on collared lizards in the Missouri Ozarks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 98(10), 5426–5432. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.091093098>
- Tobler, U., Garner, T. W. J., & Schmidt, B. R. (2013). Genetic attributes of midwife toad (*Alytes obstetricans*) populations do not correlate with degree of species decline. *Ecology and Evolution*, 3(9), 2806–2819. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.677>
- Ursenbacher, S., Guillon, M., Cubizolle, H., Dupoué, A., Blouin-Demers, G., & Lourdais, O. (2015). Postglacial recolonization in a cold climate specialist in western Europe: patterns of genetic diversity in the adder (*Vipera berus*) support the central–marginal hypothesis. *Molecular Ecology*, 24(14), 3639–3651. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13259>
- VanDerWal, J., Murphy, H. T., Kutt, A. S., Perkins, G. C., Bateman, B. L., Perry, J. J., & Reside, A. E. (2013). Focus on poleward shifts in species’ distribution underestimates

the fingerprint of climate change. *Nature Climate Change*, 3(3), 239–243.

<https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1688>

Vilà-Cabrera, A., Premoli, A. C., & Jump, A. S. (2019). Refining predictions of population decline at species' rear edges. *Global Change Biology*, 25(5), 1549–1560.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14597>

Voituron, Y., Mouquet, N., De Mazancourt, C., & Clobert, J. (2002). To freeze or not to freeze ? An evolutionary perspective on the cold hardiness strategies of overwintering ectotherms. *The American Naturalist*, 160(2), 255–270. <https://doi.org/10.1086/341021>

Waters, J. M., Fraser, C. I., & Hewitt, G. M. (2013). Founder takes all: Density-dependent processes structure biodiversity. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 28(2), 78–85.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2012.08.024>

Wiens, J. J. (2016). Climate-related local extinctions are already widespread among plant and animal species. *PLoS Biology*, 14(12), e2001104.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2001104>

Zanon, M., Davis, B. A. S., Marquer, L., Brewer, S., & Kaplan, J. O. (2018). European forest cover during the past 12,000 years: A palynological reconstruction based on modern analogs and remote sensing. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 9(March), 253.

<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.00253>

688 **Acknowledgements**

689 We are particularly grateful to the associations ‘Nature en Occitanie’ and ‘Cistude Nature’
690 who provided the database that allowed us to localize the great majority of lizard populations,
691 and we thank the ‘Parc National des Pyrenees’ for authorizing us to sample lizards. We also
692 thank Dr Sylvain Ursenbacher for advice on genetic analyses.

693 **Funding**

694 This study received the financial and technical support from the Centre National de la
695 Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), and was funded by the Interreg Poctefa under the project
696 ‘Ectopyr’ (no. EFA031/15 to FA), by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche under the
697 ‘Aquatherm’ project (ANR-17-CE02-0013 to JFLG), by the European Union (Feder), the
698 regional council of Nouvelle Aquitaine, and the departmental council of Gironde & Pyrénées-
699 Atlantiques under the ‘Climate Sentinels’ project (coordinators: Cistude Nature, Fanny
700 Mallard), and under the ‘Aquastress’ project (2018-1R20214 to OL).

701 At the time of writing, AD was financially supported by the Australian Research Council (no.
702 DP180100058 to A/Prof Anne Peters).

703 **Ethics**

704 The collection of samples was approved by the "Conseil Scientifique Régional du Patrimoine
705 Naturel (CSRPN)" of the region Occitanie. Procedures complied with current French
706 regulations permit (#2017-s-02) relating to an authorization of capture, marking, transport,
707 detention, use and release of protected lizard species.

708 **Author contributions**

709 AD and AT conceived the study together with JC and FA. AD, MR, MS, JTG, CV, CR,
710 MauB, MatB, OL and PB captured lizards. MR and MS performed molecular assays. AD, AT

711 and MG performed the data analyses. RB and MG participated in environmental data
712 extraction. MauB, MatB and GP initially identified lizard populations sampled in this study.
713 AT, RB, OC, OG, HLC, JS, JFLG, JC, and FA were involved in funding and planning this
714 work. AD led the sampling design and draft the manuscript. All authors commented the
715 article and gave final approval for publication.

716 **Biosketch**

717 Andréaz Dupoué is interested in depicting which are the proximate mechanisms driving
718 population extirpation. His main researches at the interface between molecular ecology and
719 ecological physiology emphasize the importance of water based trade-offs in the evolution of
720 wild squamate reptiles.

721 The research team lead multi-specific studies on Pyrenean and European ectotherms.

722

723 **Data accessibility**

724 The data supporting this article are available at the Zenodo Repository at
725 <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4266021>

726 **Table 1. Model selection comparing the impacts of climate (temperature and precipitations) and habitat quality (forest cover and**
727 **wetland potential) on lizard demography (abundance) and genetic profile [allelic richness (A_r), inbreeding (F_{IS}) and differentiation**
728 **(F_{ST})]. For each population parameter, the table reports the $\Delta AICc$ based linear mixed model comparison and full averages of fixed covariates.**
729 Ecological unit was set as a random term in all models to account for spatial interdependence.

Abundance	model	df	logLik	AICc	$\Delta AICc$	w_i	term	estimate	SE	z value	Pr(> z)
	temperature	4	-73.9	156.87	0	0.45	(Intercept)	-0.005	0.254	0.02	0.986
	forest + temperature	5	-73.73	159.12	2.25	0.15	temperature	-0.476	0.096	4.80	0.000 ***
	temperature + wetland	5	-73.79	159.25	2.38	0.14	forest	-0.002	0.004	0.54	0.593
	precipitation + temperature	5	-73.89	159.44	2.57	0.12	wetland	0.134	0.290	0.45	0.655
	forest + temperature + wetland	6	-73.65	161.71	4.84	0.04	precipitations	0.056	0.309	0.18	0.860
	forest + precipitation + temperature	6	-73.72	161.83	4.96	0.04					
	precipitation + temperature + wetland	6	-73.75	161.9	5.02	0.04					
	forest + precipitation + temperature + wetland	7	-73.62	164.53	7.66	0.01					
	(Null)	3	-79.21	165.06	8.19	0.01					
	precipitation	4	-78.75	166.59	9.72	0					
	wetland	4	-78.96	167	10.13	0					
	forest	4	-79.03	167.14	10.27	0					
	precipitation + wetland	5	-78.35	168.36	11.49	0					
	forest + precipitation	5	-78.71	169.09	12.22	0					
	forest + wetland	5	-78.74	169.16	12.28	0					

	forest + precipitation + wetland	6	-78.32	171.03	14.16	0						
Ar	model	df	logLik	AICc	Δ AICc	w_i	term	estimate	SE	z value	Pr(> z)	
	forest + precipitation + temperature + wetland	7	-12.03	41.45	0	0.38	(Intercept)	-0.009	0.057	0.16	0.875	
	forest + precipitation + temperature	6	-13.54	41.55	0.1	0.36	temperature	0.117	0.026	4.27	0.000 ***	
	precipitation + temperature + wetland	6	-14.65	43.76	2.31	0.12	precipitations	0.237	0.077	2.97	0.003 **	
	precipitation + temperature	5	-16.11	43.93	2.48	0.11	forest	0.002	0.001	2.13	0.033 *	
	forest + temperature + wetland	6	-16.5	47.48	6.03	0.02	wetland	-0.122	0.073	1.61	0.108	
	temperature + wetland	5	-18.63	48.97	7.52	0.01						
	forest + temperature	5	-19.2	50.11	8.66	0						
	temperature	4	-21.24	51.6	10.15	0						
	forest + precipitation	5	-20.84	53.39	11.94	0						
	forest + precipitation + wetland	6	-19.77	54.01	12.56	0						
	wetland	4	-22.59	54.29	12.83	0						
	forest + wetland	5	-21.39	54.49	13.04	0						
	precipitation	4	-22.85	54.82	13.37	0						
	forest	4	-22.93	54.97	13.52	0						
	(Null)	3	-24.23	55.12	13.67	0						
	precipitation + wetland	5	-21.79	55.29	13.84	0						
F_{1S}	model	df	logLik	AICc	Δ AICc	w_i	term	estimate	SE	z value	Pr(> z)	
	forest + temperature	5	44.26	-76.8	0	0.44	(Intercept)	0.008	0.014	0.52	0.606	

forest + temperature + wetland	6	44.86	-75.24	1.55	0.2
forest + precipitation + temperature	6	44.76	-75.05	1.75	0.18
forest + precipitation + temperature + wetland	7	45.14	-72.89	3.91	0.06
forest + precipitation	5	41.59	-71.47	5.32	0.03
forest	4	39.92	-70.72	6.08	0.02
forest + wetland	5	41.22	-70.72	6.08	0.02
forest + precipitation + wetland	6	42.28	-70.09	6.71	0.02
temperature	4	39.04	-68.98	7.82	0.01
temperature + wetland	5	39.48	-67.25	9.55	0
precipitation + temperature	5	39.32	-66.93	9.87	0
(Null)	3	36.42	-66.2	10.6	0
precipitation	4	37.31	-65.51	11.29	0
wetland	4	37.26	-65.41	11.39	0
precipitation + temperature + wetland	6	39.7	-64.94	11.86	0
precipitation + wetland	5	38.03	-64.34	12.46	0

temperature	0.017	0.006	2.67	0.008	**
forest	-0.001	0.000	3.19	0.001	**
wetland	0.018	0.018	1.00	0.317	
precipitations	-0.019	0.019	0.94	0.346	

F_{ST}	model	df	logLik	AICc	$\Delta AICc$	w_i	term	estimate	SE	z value	Pr(> z)
	forest + precipitation + temperature	6	98.41	-182.34	0	0.38	(Intercept)	-0.0003	0.0043	0.07	0.947
	forest + precipitation + temperature + wetland	7	98.89	-180.38	1.97	0.14	temperature	-0.0046	0.0018	2.53	0.012 *
	forest + temperature	5	95.95	-180.19	2.16	0.13	precipitations	-0.0097	0.0051	1.83	0.068
	forest + temperature + wetland	6	97.01	-179.56	2.79	0.09	forest	-0.0002	0.0001	2.54	0.011 *

forest	4	93.95	-178.79	3.55	0.06	wetland	0.0051	0.0049	0.99	0.321
forest + precipitation	5	94.67	-177.63	4.71	0.04					
precipitation + temperature	5	94.65	-177.58	4.76	0.04					
forest + wetland	5	94.31	-176.91	5.44	0.03					
(Null)	3	91.43	-176.22	6.13	0.02					
temperature	4	92.62	-176.13	6.22	0.02					
precipitation + temperature + wetland	6	95.13	-175.79	6.55	0.01					
temperature + wetland	5	93.55	-175.39	6.96	0.01					
forest + precipitation + wetland	6	94.79	-175.11	7.23	0.01					
wetland	4	91.83	-174.55	7.79	0.01					
precipitation	4	91.69	-174.27	8.07	0.01					
precipitation + wetland	5	91.94	-172.16	10.18	0					

730

731 df: degree of freedom, logLik: log likelihood, $\Delta AICc$: difference in corrected Akaike Information Criterion relative to model with lowest AICs.
732 Highlighted models (grey area) are based on $\Delta AICc < 2$. Highlighted variables (bolded characters) are based on $\alpha < 0.05$.

733

Table 2. Posterior estimations of demographic parameters (means and 95% CI) following $4 \cdot 10^6$ simulations and splits designed under scenario 2 (see Fig. 2). Table reports the effective population size (N_e) of each ecological unit, and the generation time before sampling associated with each out three divergence times (split of the rear edge: t1, split of the admixture zone: t2, and separation of the leading edge from continuous range: t3; see Fig. 2). For each parameter, we estimated estimation accuracy by generating 500 datasets and compile the Relative Median Absolute Error (RMAE) based on their posterior distributions. The corresponding number of years were assumed considering a generation time of three years. See text for details.

Ecological units	N_e	95% CI	RMAE			
Rear edge (< 100 m)	6,100	2,170 – 10,030	0.169			
Admixture zone (100-500 m)	6,390	2,580 – 10,200	0.146			
Continuous range (500-1300 m)	8,730	2,710 – 14,750	0.104			
Leading Edge (> 1300 m)	1,600	1,014 – 2,186	0.171			
	Generations	95%CI	RMAE	Generation time	Years	95%CI
t1	37	21 – 53	0.262	2.5	92.5	52.5 – 132.5
t2	191	108 – 274	0.211	2.0	382	216 – 548
t3	748	536 – 960	0.120	2.0	1,496	1,072 – 1,920

Figure captions

Fig. 1. Genetic structuration of 42 natural populations of the common lizard (*Z. v. lousilantzi*) gathered into 4 ecological units associated with the recent shift in distribution of the Western clade. Individuals ($n = 599$) were clustered without *a priori* into $K = 6$ clusters (one colour per K) following a Bayesian approach (top panel). We used the maximal proportion of individuals affiliated to a cluster within a population (as illustrated by the 42 pie charts) to represent an index of population structuration. Although structuration depended on ecological units (mean \pm SE on the right panel), the different clusters depended on geographic localisation rather than ecological units (bottom panels).

Fig. 2. Three demographic scenarios of Pyrenean ascension in the common lizards. For each ecological unit, the total population size (N) was kept constant. Posterior estimates of 10^6 simulations for each scenario were compared using logistic regression and scenario 2 was retained with the highest posterior probability (mean \pm 95% CI: 0.74 ± 0.06), compared to scenario 1 (0.10 ± 0.04) and scenario 3 (0.16 ± 0.17). The selected scenario associates three divergence times between ecological units across Pyrenean ascension (split of the rear edge: t_1 , split of the admixture zone: t_2 , and separation of the leading edge from continuous range: t_3).

Fig. 3. Comparison of population genetics (means \pm SE) among ecological units and associated predictions (grey polygons). (a) Within-populations genetic diversity decreases across altitude much like (b) inbreeding, while (c) genetic differentiation follow a non-linear trend following our predictions.

769

770 **Fig. 4. Population connectivity tested by isolation by distance (IBD) both globally and**
771 **locally.** Significant relationships between genetic distance and geographic distance are
772 symbolized with line types (solid: significant, dashed: non-significant) together with a 2-
773 dimensional kernel density estimation to illustrate population continuity. (a) Globally, genetic
774 distances are associated with geographic distance and continuous, (b,c) IBD was non-
775 significant in isolated populations, and (d,e) IBD was significant but discontinuous in
776 connected populations.

777

778 **Fig. 5. Variation of demographic and genetic markers with climate conditions and**
779 **habitat characteristics.** The line and surfaces are drawn from predictions of the best linear
780 mixed models selected by the best conditional average (Table 1). The color gradient
781 highlights the values from low (blue) to high range (orange). a) Lizard abundance was
782 negatively correlated solely to air temperature, while b) within-population diversity, c)
783 inbreeding or d) population differentiation were influenced by additive effects of air
784 temperature and forest cover.









Rear edge
<100m

Admixture zone
100 - 500 m


Continuous range
500 - 1300 m

Leading edge
>1300 m




Scenario 1

N1
 N2
 N3
 N4
 N1+N2+N3+N4




Scenario 2







N1
 N2
 N3
 N4
 N3+N4
 N2+N3+N4
 N1+N2+N3+N4




Scenario 3

N1
 N2
 N3
 N4
 N2+N3+N4
 N1+N2+N3+N4







a) Global




b) Rear edge




c) Admixture zone



d) Continuous range




e) Leading edge




Geographic distance (km)


a)



b)



c)



d)

