# Journal of Zoology



# Better to be resident, larger or coloured? Experimental analysis on intraspecific aggression in the ruin lizard

V. Titone<sup>1</sup>, F. Marsiglia<sup>1</sup>, M. Mangiacotti<sup>2</sup>, R. Sacchi<sup>2</sup>, S. Scali<sup>3</sup> & M. A. L. Zuffi<sup>1</sup>

1 Natural History Museum, University of Pisa, Calci, Pisa, Italy

2 Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy

3 Natural History Museum, Milano, Italy

#### Keywords

ruin lizard; *Podarcis siculus*; neutral contest; aggression; territoriality; alternative strategies; antagonism.

#### Correspondence

Marco A. L. Zuffi, Natural History Museum, University of Pisa, Calci, Pisa, Italy. Email: marco.zuffi@unipi.it

Editor: Mark-Oliver Rödel

Received 10 August 2017; revised 17 October 2017; accepted 1 November 2017

doi:10.1111/jzo.12524

#### Abstract

Territoriality evolves when the benefits gained from exclusive access to limited resources exceed the costs of defence. Sometimes animals evolve distinct morphs, that may reflect different capability, and in some territorial species of lizards the polymorphism is associated to alternative strategies, both for reproductive efficiency and territorial dominance. It is known that normally larger males are more aggressive and are able to defend a larger area and for longer than small males; in fact this dynamic is widespread in many animal species including the genus Podarcis. The aim of our study was to test which factors determine the outcome of fighting in the strongly territorial Italian ruin lizard, Podarcis siculus, using two types of contests: (1) resident versus intruder and (2) in a neutral arena. Furthermore, because these lizards are characterized by strong ventral colour variability, usually restricted to jaws and throat, we wanted to investigate if coloured lizards have higher chances at winning than white lizards. The results showed that the fight's result was significantly influenced by the state of residence, while the colour had no effect; instead, the snout to vent length difference between opponents had significant influence on the outcome in the neutral arena. Our results suggest that, in this lizard, both the size and the state of residency, no matter of colour, play an important role to determine the outcome of a fight, however, highly depending on the contest. We think that P. siculus should be object of future studies, focusing on behavioural and ecological aspects, even considering the occurrence of different colours within and among populations.

# Introduction

Territoriality evolves when the benefits gained from exclusive access to limited resources exceed the costs of defence (Brown, 1964). Territorialism and correlated behaviours are widespread among animals, in invertebrates (Jacobs, 1955; Alcock & Bailey, 1997; Hoefler, 2002) as well as in vertebrates (Beacham, 1988; Fox & Baird, 1992; Forester, Cover & Wisnieski, 1993; Beaugrand, Payette & Goulet, 1996). In aggressive interactions, natural selection should promote the evolution of those signals, behaviours and characteristics that express the right balance between costs and benefits, minimizing the formers and maximizing the latter (Krebs & Davies, 1998). The major underlying assumption of decision-making process is that animals use information conveyed by different signals to weigh the costs and benefits of an action, and maximize the net benefits (Dukas, 1998; Krebs & Davies, 1998; Shettleworth, 1998). Applied to the case of male-male aggressive interactions, the above paradigm predicts that males are able to process information and make decisions during the 'pre-confrontation' stage assuming the relative fighting abilities of opponents (Labra, 2006).

Lizards offer an optimal model for studying the determinants of the outcome of male-male combats as they are strongly territorial, show high aggressive displays during intrasexual interactions and are quite easy to keep in captivity and observe under highly standardized conditions. Several previous studies have addressed aggressive interactions in male lizards. They generally agree in pointing size as the first determinant of combat outcomes (e.g. Tokarz, 1985; Carpenter, 1995), as larger males are usually dominant over smaller ones (Cooper & Vitt, 1987; Olsson, 1992; Molina-Borja, Andron-Fumer & Alfonso-Martin, 1998; Sacchi et al., 2009). By avoiding fights with a larger male that presumably is the owner of a territory, an intruding small male may reduce the costs of aggressive interactions (Marler & Moore, 1988, 1989; Marler et al., 1995). By contrast, when the contenders have similar body sizes, the probability that an intruding male wins a fight with a territory owner would be actually low, with the resident male having the highest motivation to the territory defence (Sacchi et al., 2009).

Braddock (1949) coined the term 'prior residence effect' to define this latter phenomenon where territorial residents generally win conflicts with intruders, describing the tendency of resident males to respond more aggressively than intruders. After its definition, the resident effect has been confirmed in a diverse assemblage of animals ranging from lepidopterans (Davies, 1978) to cichlid fish (Figler & Einhorn, 1983), lungless salamanders (Cupp, 1980; Nunes & Jaeger, 1989) and it frequently occurs also in lizards (e.g. Carpenter, 1995; Aragón, López & Martín, 2006; Sacchi et al., 2009). For example, territorial males react more likely than intruders in escalating aggression to defend their territory (Krebs, 1982; López & Martín, 2001: Aragón et al., 2006). This is because a specific area has a greater value to residents than to intruders because of residents' familiarity with the physical and social environment (Stamps & Krishnan, 1994; Stamps, 1995). In this way, size and residency (or site familiarity) may strictly interact in determining the outcomes of social contests, for example, in lizards (Cooper & Vitt, 1987; Stamps & Krishnan, 1994; López & Martín, 2001; Sacchi et al., 2009).

Colour in lizards is an important pattern in sexual recognition and both intra- and intersexual competition (Bohórquez-Alonso & Molina-Borja, 2014; Klomp *et al.*, 2016). Notably, brilliant colours of the back, throat and vent may determine the fighting outcome (Klomp *et al.*, 2016) by revealing male's quality and fighting ability to the opponent. Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that blue–green colours visible in the UV spectrum still signal healthy, reproductive status (Bajer *et al.*, 2010) and dominance status of the animal (Anderholm *et al.*, 2004; Whiting *et al.*, 2006; Bohórquez-Alonso & Molina-Borja, 2014). This may arise important as determinant during intraspecific aggressions, especially in species keeping condition-dependent colourations.

We analysed the effect of size, residence and colouration in the Italian ruin lizard Podarcis siculus, which is widely distributed in most Mediterranean areas of peninsular and insular Italy, coastal Slovenia and northern Croatia. It is a small lacertid lizard, with an average size (snout to vent length, SVL) of 65 mm and 6 g in adult males, smaller in females. Considered aggressive and invasive (Downes & Bauwens, 2002; Mangiacotti et al., 2013), allochthonous in several European and non-European countries (Corti, Biaggini & Capula, 2011), this species is an excellent model for aggressive experiments. In addition, P. siculus is quite variable in the dorsal patterns as well as in the throat and ventral colouration (not only white, green, blue, but also orange to red in southern populations; Corti et al., 2011), albeit not showing a true colour polymorphism, as in other Podarcis species (e. g., P. muralis, Sacchi et al., 2007). The functions of throat and ventral colour variability in this species are totally unknown, so we investigated the possible role of colour in intrasexual aggressive behaviours. Our study aimed to determine the effect of size, residency and throat colour during combats by using two types of contests: (1) resident versus intruder to monitor displays and behaviours in a territoriality scenario and (2) in a neutral arena to monitor displays and behaviours in a scenario without the residence advantage. Our predictions were that larger and resident individuals will win the combats more frequently than smaller and non-resident ones. The only a priori hypothesis concerning colour was that green-throated individuals should win combats more frequently if greenness honestly signals male quality (Leal, 1999; Vanhooydonk et al., 2006).

### **Materials and Methods**

#### **Study animals**

We captured sexually mature male lizards (SVL > 50 mm, Zuffi, Casu & Marino, 2012) by noosing and pitfall traps in five sites in the surroundings of Pisa, from February–March to July 2015. Overall, we caught 86 individuals; for each lizard, we took snout to vent length (SVL, to the nearest 0.5 mm), body mass (to the nearest 0.5 g) and maxilla-jaw colour coded as green or white. We considered 'green' all those lizards being avowedly not white, ranging from completely green or green–orange, yellow–green, even to green–blue.

#### Lizard housing and experimental setup

Each male was maintained in terrarium ( $46 \times 29 \times 20$  cm), setup with shelters, a raised area, water ad libitum and food (Tenebrio molitor larvae). The heating was ensured using 15 W heating wires, placed under the terraria and with 40 W incandescent lamps with day-night cycle controlled by timer (14:10, May-June photoperiod). Lizards were housed individually for 1 week to let them acclimate and to establish residency and scent mark their cages (Aragón, López & Martín, 2003). Before the test, lizards were placed under lamps for 20 min to reach a proper activity temperature, ranging between 28.5 and 37°C, with an average temperature between contenders of 29°C. Temperature was measured with a laser gun thermometer (Lafayette, mod. TRP39, range -50 to 1000°C) with a precision ratio of 50:1 (i.e. from 50 cm distance, the scanned area equals to a 1 cm diameter spot), selecting a spot on the right flank. Encounters with opponents did not result in injuries and all lizards were healthy during trials. At the end of the experiment, they were released at their capture points.

We performed the test in two different experimental contests: (1) resident versus intruder where the intruder was removed from its own terrarium and inserted in the resident's one, covered with a removable glass sheet to allow top view and camera recording; (2) neutral arena, a plexiglass enclosure of  $100 \times 60 \times 60$  cm. Each test, recorded with a Nikon P90 camera, lasted 15 min for contest 1 and 20 min for contest 2 (5 min for relax + 15 min for interactions test). Relax time was realized inserting lizards initially into a paper tube (40-50 cm apart from each other), inside the arena (Fig. 1), then removing the tubes allowing animals see each other and the test started. In order to avoid the effect given by the 'dear enemy' and 'nasty neighbours' phenomena (Carazo, Font & Desfilis, 2008), we tested individuals belonging to different and distant populations. Each individual was randomly assigned to pairs, according to colours in order to create a balanced number of heteromorphic and homomorphic dyads for each experimental contest (Table 1).

An individual was submitted to a maximum of three tests, without role's repetition (i.e. one as resident, one as intruder and one in the neutral arena). No lizard was tested more than once a day, nor did any lizard face the same opponent more than once in territorialism contest. We also randomly



Figure 1 Neutral arena setup.

Table 1 Distribution of heteromorphic and homomorphic dyads for each experimental contest

Colour dyads	Contest 1	Contest 2	
WW	12	4	
WG	13	8	
GW	13		
GG	11	4	
Total	49	16	

selected the contenders to make size variable as it does in natural populations, with the resident smaller, larger or of similar size than the intruder. The average size of opponents did not vary depending on the role (two samples t-test, resident vs. intruder body mass: t = -0.121, d.f. = 96, P = 0.904, mean difference = -0.1 mm, range -0.7 to 0.6; SVL: t = -0.182, d.f. = 96, P = 0.856, mean difference = 0.2 mm, range -2.2 to 1.8). The arena ground was uncovered (transparent plexiglass), and the vertical walls were lined with sheets of white paper (Fig. 1), thereby preventing the external view in the horizontal plane and thus any possible disturbance; the room lighting was of artificial source, perpendicular to the arena to avoid any directional reference for the experimental animals. In the arena, we placed two reptile star lamps symmetrically on the top of the two shorter sides of the arena. At the end of each trial, the enclosure was carefully washed and cleaned to remove any possible chemical scent. In total we performed 65 tests, 49 resident versus intruder (experimental contest 1) and 16 in neutral arena (experimental contest 2).

#### **Aggressiveness scoring**

For the videotape dataset, we used BORIS (Behavioural Observation Research Interactive Software, available at: http:// www.boris.unito.it/; Friard & Gamba, 2016), setting up the ethogram detailed in Table 2. According to previous literature (Torr & Shine, 1994; Langkilde, Schwarzkopf & Alford, 2003; Huyghe *et al.*, 2005; Sánchez-Hernández, Ramírez-Pinilla & Molina-Borja, 2012), we considered (1) aggressive behaviours,

those as raised posture on all fours legs, buckle back, flank exposure, mouth opening, bites; and (2) subordination behaviours those as tail swing, posture, attempts to escape or seek shelter and the relative time spent in those attempts (Aragón et al., 2003; Carazo et al., 2008; Sacchi et al., 2009). The different amount of time spent in each recorded pattern was coded to assess different levels of aggressiveness, thus defining dominance (D) or subordination (S) patterns for the individuals involved in the tests, for both residence and arena contests (Table 2). We thus obtained a D and S values for each individual. The difference between those values (D-S, dominancesubordination), normalized on the time length of the test (900 s), was used as a score to estimate the differential time spent to show aggression or subordination of an individual towards the opponent. D--S ranges between -1 and 1: negative scores mean that a lizard spent more time showing subordination than dominance or aggression behaviours; on the contrary, positive scores mean that a lizard spent more time showing dominance or aggression than subordination behaviours. For the couples of lizards tested, the individual that obtained a positive or higher value of D-S was declared the winner (more aggressive), the individual that obtained a negative or lower value of D-S was declared the loser (less aggressive). In addition we used the total number of bites as a proxy for the intensity of the aggressive behaviour irrespective of the combat outcome.

#### **Statistical analyses**

Data obtained from territorialism tests were analysed with a linear mixed model (LMM) on IBM® spss® version 20.0. We considered D-S difference and number of bites as dependent variables, status (two levels factor, resident or intruder), maxilla-throat colour and their interaction, svl difference (size of resident minus size of intruder, svl\_diff) of opponents as predictors, while the individual entered the model as random factor. Data from the neutral arena were analysed using a linear model (LM) considering D-S score as dependent variable, maxilla-throat colour as factor and svl\_diff (size of the winner minus the size of the loser) as covariate. All data are expressed as mean  $\pm 1$  se.

Intraspecific aggression in Italian ruin lizards

Table 2 Ethogram of Podarcis siculus used to code the agonistic interactions

Behaviour	Description	Category
Approaching	One lizard walks directly towards the other.	Dominance/Aggression
Arch neck	The apex of snout is pointed towards the ground, displaying also Gular expansion.	Dominance/Aggression
Bite	One lizard bites the other one.	Dominance/Aggression
Chase	One lizard rapidly follows another FLEEING lizard.	Dominance/Aggression
Eat	Lizard eats.	Neutral
Flee	One lizard moves away from another CHASING lizard.	Submission
Gular expansion	Expansion of the throat region.	Dominance/Aggression
Hide	Finding or looking for refuge.	Avoidance/Submission
Immobility	A lizard holds the same position.	Submission
Lateral orientation	Body raised up on the four legs, dorsolateral compression, flanks exposure, lateral back distortion.	Dominance/Aggression
Lunge	Rapid jumping movement by one lizard towards another.	Dominance/Aggression
Nip	One lizard grasps another with its jaws and then releases immediately (<1 second later).	Dominance/Aggression
Open mouth	Lizard maintains the mouth open facing the opponent.	Dominance/Aggression
Patrol	Running, climbing along the perimeter/scratching the cage's walls.	Avoidance
Tail undulation	Movement of the tail in a waving motion.	Submission
Strobe motion	Lizard displays movement in short, rapid jerks, to get closer the other lizard, displaying also the behaviour Gular expansion, Ark neck and Lateral orientation.	Dominance/Aggression
Walking	Walk around the cage.	Neutral

# Results

In the experimental contest 1, D-S score was significantly higher than 0 in resident (0.15  $\pm$  0.06, t = 2.330, d.f. = 48, P = 0.024), suggesting that resident males display more aggressive than submissive behaviours against intruders. Similarly, D-S score was significantly lower than 0 in intruders  $(-0.21 \pm 0.05, t = 3.951, d.f. = 48, P < 0.0001)$ , also indicating that intruder males used submissive behaviours more frequently than aggressive behaviours. In accordance, we obtained 35 victories for the resident, 14 victories for the intruder, while in the experimental contest 2, we obtained 15 victories for the larger individuals and only one for the smaller one. The LMM showed that D-S score increased with residency  $(\beta_{\text{residency}} = 0.338 \pm 0.115, F = 19.696, d.f. = 1, 88.2,$ P < 0.0001) (Fig. 2), irrespective of colour (F = 0.731, d.f. = 1, 64.8, P = 0.40), their interaction (F = 0.104, d.f. = 1, 88.9, P = 0.75) or of svl\_diff (F = 0.429, d.f. = 1, 91.1, P = 0.51). Additionally, the random effect of individual identity was also not significant (Wald Z = 0.982, P = 0.33). The number of bites also increased as a function of the residence status ( $\beta_{\text{residency}} = 7.28 \pm 2.52$ , F = 8.610, d.f. = 1, 92.4, P = 0.004), independent of colour (F = 0.392, d.f. = 1, 67.3, (F = 1.245,P = 0.53), their interaction d.f. = 1, 92.6, P = 0.27) or svl\_diff (F = 0.518, d.f. = 1, 90.8, P = 0.47). Furthermore, the random effect of ID was also not significant (Wald Z = 0.490, P = 0.62).

With regards to the experimental arena contest, we unexpectedly obtained that both winners as well as losers achieved D-S scores significantly lower than 0 (winner:  $-0.11 \pm 0.06$ , t = 6.518, d.f. = 15, P < 0.0001; loser:  $-0.45 \pm 0.18$ , t = 9.755, d.f. = 15, P < 0.0001). These results suggest that all

lizards displayed on average more submissive than aggressive behaviours in a neutral contest. The LM showed that D-S score significantly increased with male body size (F = 22.397, d.f. = 1, 29, P < 0.0001) (Fig. 3), and was independently of colour (F = 0.317, d.f. = 1, 29, P = 0.58).

# Discussion

Our results showed that the fight outcome in Italian ruin lizards was primarily influenced by the state of residence. The results from the neutral arena experiment were significant for body size, and not at all by the throat colour. Often, the result of a combat is defined from the asymmetries of a lizard status. In fact, current state of residence may constitute an advantage for lizards, since the resident has an accurate knowledge of territory's value, which is rather unknown to the intruder, thus conferring greater motivation to the fighting (Holberton, Hanano & Able, 1990; Stamps & Krishnan, 1994; Temeles, 1994; Moretz, 2003; Keemp & Wiklund, 2004; Stuart-Fox & Johnston, 2005). Asymmetries can be represented also by a subjective evaluation of the contention resource (Parker, 1974; Maynard-Smith & Parker, 1976), by different competitive abilities in order to maintain or acquire a resource (Parker, 1974; Maynard-Smith & Parker, 1976; Hammerstein, 1981), which may depend on body size or on any possible 'weapon' (Huntingford & Turner, 1987; Alcock & Huston, 1996), or by the individual aggressiveness (Barlow, Rogers & Fraley, 1986; Maynard-Smith & Harper, 1988; Hurd, 2006).

The best strategy for intruders is to avoid conflicts, as they have a higher probability of losing even when they are larger than the residents. In our tests, this residence advantage is made evident by the numerous smaller resident individuals that



Figure 2 Relationship of D-S (dominance-subordination) with svl\_diff (size of resident minus size of intruder) in contest 1 between residents (black circles) versus intruders (grey circles).



Figure 3 Relationship of D-S (dominance-subordination) with svl\_diff (size of the winner minus the size of the loser) in contest 2 between winners (black circles) and losers (grey circles).

show more aggressive behaviours than expected and display the 'Napoleon strategy', fighting and winning against larger intruders (Just & Morris, 2003; Moretz, 2003). We actually demonstrated that resident lizards spent less time than intruders in the avoidance activity, as the state of residency was the most important factor that predicted the outcome of a fight, while there was not any size effect, in this contest, and for both the roles (average value: Large<sub>resident</sub> = 2.54%; Small<sub>resident</sub> = 3.87%; Large<sub>intruder</sub> = 9.34%; Small<sub>intruder</sub> = 13.09%). In fact, the difference between larger and smaller residents or intruders were not significant even if, just from a quantitative point of view, larger lizards spent less time fleeing than small ones, no matter the roles they play. The importance of the residence status in this Podarcis lizard could be the one of the most important factors that, together with other unique physiological and behavioural features of this species (Vervust et al., 2010; Kapsalas et al., 2016), enable P. siculus to rapidly colonize

new areas (Downes & Bauwens, 2002; Mangiacotti *et al.*, 2013), a pattern that would deserve forthcoming analyses and experimental research.

Second relevant result of this study is the opposite effect of body size in the neutral arena. While in the experimental contest 1, body size did not apparently affect the outcome of the combat, in the experimental contest 2, size was the main factor that could reveal the outcome. Furthermore, the avoidance activity was markedly evident in both roles (winner, loser) where, unexpectedly, the winners displayed on average more submissive than aggressive behaviours. When both contenders do not have any previous knowledge of the territory's value (neutral arena), being bigger confers an advantage.

In lizards, SVL is in general the primary predictor of dominance during combats (e.g. *Lacerta agilis*, Olsson, 1992; *Anolis aeneus*, Stamps & Krishnan, 1994; *L. monticola*, Aragón *et al.*, 2006; *P. muralis*, Sacchi *et al.*, 2009; *P. gaigeae*, Cooper, Dimopoulos & Pafilis, 2015). In this scenario, the ruin lizard could be the exception. Indeed, the relative importance of size and residency seem to be reversed compared to the other species. If the power of the residency effect could be considered a proxy for the 'territoriality' of a species, we can say that *P. siculus* is actually a much territorial species, as recently demonstrated when it directly competes with other species (Downes & Bauwens, 2002; Mangiacotti *et al.*, 2013).

Finally, throat colour does not seem to play a role in the dominance versus subordination ranking establishment, not supporting the hypothesis that greenness conveys information about male quality. The ventral colour variability of P. siculus still remains unexplained and does not seem to be comparable to the colour polymorphism expressed by other lacertid lizards (e.g. P. muralis and P. melisellensis). No evidence of similar patterns are still available for the ruin lizard, thus further studies are needed to investigate the real role of the species polychromatism (Corti et al., 2011). Interestingly, in other territorial not polymorphic species, it has been found that, for instance, chromatic patches may function as status signalling badges of aggressiveness, fighting ability or dominance in males (Bohórquez-Alonso & Molina-Borja, 2014). In addition, it has been suggested a possible role of testosterone as modulating factor (i.e. enhancing or inhibiting) of the UV throat (Whiting et al., 2006), a complex system that should actually be investigated also in P. siculus. In gliding lizards, characterized by dewlaps used in social communication, it has been found that the dewlap is solely used for communicating in territory defence and mate attraction (Vanhooydonk et al., 2006), where the strong colouration and contrast seem play such relevant role (Klomp et al., 2016).

Considering our results, it should be worth studying, under controlled conditions, the extent of aggressive behaviour of *P. siculus* (1) versus the sympatric and often syntopic *P. muralis*, the species that very often share many (micro) habitats in Mediterranean central western Italy; and also (2) versus some of the other Italian *Podarcis* lizards, that seem actually mostly constrained by *P. siculus* in its distribution (*P. tiliguerta*; Mangiacotti *et al.*, 2013) or that, in contact zones, suffer of the *P. siculus* aggressiveness (*P. melisellensis*; Downes & Bauwens, 2002).

In conclusion, our results show that (1) male size gives higher advantage in defend each territory, but more importantly when in combination with a specific contest and a strong motivation (Downes & Bauwens, 2002), and that (2) maxillary and throat colouration does not seem to supply a straightforward contribution in dominance versus subordination behavioural contests.

# Acknowledgements

Lizards were captured and handled according to the Ministero dell'Ambiente permissions (MATM – 0006716/PNM, 03/04/2014, 2014–2016) to M.A.L. Zuffi. The Parco Migliarino San Rossore Massaciuccoli direction (Dr. Gennai) provided permissions to enter protected areas and also kindly provided logistics in a guest house to carry out on experiments. A special thanks to the two anonymous reviewers who provided useful and

fruitful comments and suggestions on a previous draft of the paper.

## References

- Alcock, J. & Bailey, W. (1997). Success in territorial defence by male tarantula hawk wasp *Hemipepsis ustulata*: the role of residency. *Ecol. Entomol.* 22, 377–383. https://doi.org/10. 1046/j.1365-2311.1997.00066.x
- Alcock, J. & Huston, T.F. (1996). Mating system and male size in Australian hylacine bees (Hymenoptera: Colletidae). *Ethology* **102**, 591–610. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0310. 1996.tb01151.x
- Anderholm, S., Olsson, M., Wapstra, E. & Ryberg, K. (2004). Fit and fat from enlarged badges: a field experiment on male sand lizards. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* 271, S142–S144. https://doi.org/ 10.1098/rsbl.2003.0094
- Aragón, P., López, P. & Martín, J. (2003). Differential avoidance responses to chemical cues from familiar and unfamiliar conspecifics by male Iberian rock lizards (*Lacerta monticola*). J. Herpetol. **37**, 583–585. https://doi.org/10.1670/ 192-02N
- Aragón, P., López, P. & Martín, J. (2006). Roles of male residence and relative size in the social behavior of Iberian rock lizards, *Lacerta monticola. Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 59, 762–769. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-005-0106-8
- Bajer, K., Molnár, O., Török, J. & Herczeg, G. (2010). Female European green lizards (*Lacerta viridis*) prefer males with high ultraviolet throat reflectance. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 64, 2007–2014. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-010-1012-2
- Barlow, G.W., Rogers, W. & Fraley, N. (1986). Do Midas cichlids win through prowess or daring? It depends. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* **19**, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00303836
- Beacham, J.L. (1988). The relative importance of body size and aggressive experience as determinants of dominance in Pumpkinseed sunfish, *Lepomis gibbosus*. Anim. Behav. 36, 621–623. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-3472(88)80042-3
- Beaugrand, J.P., Payette, D. & Goulet, C. (1996). Conflict outcome in male green swordtail fish dyads (*Xiphophorus hellerii*): interaction of body size, prior dominance/ subordination experience, and prior residency. *Behaviour* 133, 303–319. https://doi.org/10.1163/156853996X00161
- Bohórquez-Alonso, M.L. & Molina-Borja, M. (2014). Reflectance of sexually dichromatic UV-blue patches varies during the breeding season and between two subspecies of *Gallotia galloti* (Squamata: Lacertidae). *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* **113**, 556–569. https://doi.org/10.1111/bij.12345
- BORIS (Behavioural Observation Research Interactive Software), Copyright 2012-2017 Olivier Friard - Marco Gamba, http:// www.boris.unito.it/
- Braddock, J.C. (1949). The effect of prior residence upon dominance in the jewel fish, *Platypoecilus maculatus*. *Physiol. Zool.* 22, 161–169. https://doi.org/10.1086/physzool.22.2. 30152038

Brown, J.L. (1964). The evolution of diversity in avian territorial systems. *Wilson Bull.* **76**, 160–169.

Carazo, P., Font, E. & Desfilis, E. (2008). Beyond 'nasty neighbours' and 'dear enemies'? Individual recognition by scent marks in a lizard (*Podarcis hispanica*). Anim. Behav. 76, 1953–1963. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2008. 08.018

Carpenter, G. (1995). Modeling Dominance: the influence of size, coloration and experience on dominance relations in tree lizards (*Urosaurus ornatus*). *Herpetol. Monogr.* 9, 88–101. https://doi.org/10.2307/1466998

Cooper, W.E. & Vitt, L.J. (1987). Deferred agonistic behavior in a longlived scincid lizard *Eumeces laticeps*. *Oecologia* **72**, 321–326. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00377558

Cooper, W.E., Dimopoulos, I. & Pafilis, P. (2015). Sex, age and population density affect aggressive behaviors in island lizards promoting cannibalism. *Ethology* **121**, 260–269. https://doi. org/10.1111/eth.12335

Corti, C., Biaggini, M. and Capula, M. (2011). *Podarcis siculus* (Rafinesque-Schmaltz, 1810). In *Fauna d'Italia 47. Reptilia*: 407–417. Corti, C., Capula, M., Luiselli, L., Razzetti, E. and Sindaco, R. (Eds). Bologna, Italy: Ministero dell'Ambiente e Tutela del Territorio, Direzione Protezione della Natura: Calderini Gruppo 24 Ore.

Cupp, P.V. (1980). Territoriality in the green salamander, Aneides aeneus. Copeia **1980**, 463–468. https://doi.org/10. 2307/1444523

Davies, N.B. (1978). Territorial defence in the speckled wood butterfly (*Pararge aegeria*): the resident always wins. *Anim. Behav.* 26, 138–147. https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-3472(78) 90013-1

Downes, S. & Bauwens, D. (2002). An experimental demonstration of direct behavioural interference in two Mediterranean lacertid lizard species. *Anim. Behav.* 63, 1037– 1046. https://doi.org/10.1006/anbe.2002.3022

Dukas, R. (1998). Cognitive ecology: the evolutionary ecology of information processing and decision making. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Figler, M.H. & Einhorn, D.M. (1983). The territorial prior residence effect in convict cichlids (*Cichlasoma nigrofasciatum* Gunther): temporal aspects of establishment and retention, and proximate mechanisms. *Behaviour* **85**, 157– 183. https://doi.org/10.1163/156853983X00084

Forester, D.C., Cover, J. & Wisnieski, A. (1993). The influence of time of residency on the tenacy on of territorial defense by the dart-poison frog *Dendrobates pumilio*. *Herpetologica* **49**, 94–99.

Fox, S.F. & Baird, A.T. (1992). The dear enemy phenomenon in the collared lizard, *Crotaphytus collaris*, with a cautionary note on experimental methodology. *Anim. Behav.* 44, 780– 782. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-3472(05)80306-9

Friard, O. & Gamba, M. (2016). BORIS: a free, versatile opensource event-logging software for video/audio coding and live observations. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 7, 1325–1330. https://doi. org/10.1111/2041-210X.12584 Hammerstein, P. (1981). The role of asymmetries in animal contests. *Anim. Behav.* 29, 193–205. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0003-3472(81)80166-2

Hoefler, C.D. (2002). Is contest experience a trump card? The interaction of residency status, experience, and body size on fighting success in *Misumenoides formosipes* (Araneae: Thomisidae). J. Insect Behav. 15, 779. https://doi.org/10.1023/ A:1021123523307

Holberton, R.L., Hanano, R. & Able, K.P. (1990). Age related dominance in dark-eyed juncos: effects of plumage colour and prior residence. *Anim. Behav.* 40, 573–579. https://doi.org/10. 1016/S0003-3472(05)80538-X

Huntingford, F. & Turner, A. (1987). *Animal conflict*. New York: Chapman & Hall. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-3145-9

Hurd, P.L. (2006). Resource holding potential, subjective resource value, and game theoretical models of aggressiveness signalling. J. Theor. Biol. 241, 639–648. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jtbi.2006.01.001

Huyghe, K., Vanhooydonck, B., Scheers, H., Molina-Borja, M. & Van Damme, R. (2005). Morphology, performance and fighting capacity in male lizards, *Gallotia galloti. Funct. Ecol.* **19**, 800–807. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2005.01038.x

Jacobs, M.E. (1955). Studies on territorialism and sexual selection in dragonflies. *Ecology* 36, 566–586. https://doi.org/ 10.2307/1931296

- Just, W. & Morris, M.R. (2003). The Napoleon Complex: why smaller males pick fights. *Evol. Ecol.* **17**, 509–522. https://doi. org/10.1023/B:EVEC.0000005629.54152.83
- Kapsalas, G., Gavriilidi, I., Adamopoulou, C., Foufopoulos, J. & Pafilis, P. (2016). Effective thermoregulation in a newly established population of *Podarcis siculus* in Greece: a possible advantage for a successful invader. *Acta Herpetol.* 11, 111–118.

Keemp, D.J. & Wiklund, C. (2004). Residency effects in animal contests. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B* 271, 1707–1711. https://doi. org/10.1098/rspb.2004.2775

Klomp, D.A., Ord, T.J., Das, I., Diesmos, A., Ahmad, N. & Stuart-Fox, D. (2016). Ornament size and colour as alternative strategies for effective communication in gliding lizards. J. Evol. Biol. 29, 1689–1700. https://doi.org/10.1111/jeb.12908

Krebs, J.R. (1982). Territorial defence in the great tit, *Parus major*: do residents always win? *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 11, 185–194. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00300061

Krebs, J.R. & Davies, N.B. (1998). *Behavioural ecology: an evolutionary approach*. Oxford: Blackwell Science.

Labra, A. (2006). Chemoreception and the assessment of fighting abilities in the Lizard *Liolaemus monticola*. *Ethology* **112**, 993–999. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0310.2006.01256.x

Langkilde, T., Schwarzkopf, L. & Alford, R. (2003). An ethogram for adult male Rainbow skinks, *Carlia jarnoldae*. *Herpetol. J.* **13**, 141–148.

Leal, M. (1999). Honest signalling during prey-predator interactions in the lizard Anolis cristatellus. Anim. Behav. 58, 521–526. https://doi.org/10.1006/anbe.1999.1181 López, P. & Martín, J. (2001). Fighting rules and rival recognition reduce costs of aggression in male lizards, *Podarcis hispanica. Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* **49**, 111– 116.https://doi.org/10.1007/s002650000288

Mangiacotti, M., Scali, S., Sacchi, R., Bassu, L., Nulchis, V. & Corti, C. (2013). Assessing the spatial scale effect of anthropogenic factors on species distribution. *PlosOne* 8, e67573. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0067573

Marler, C.A. & Moore, M.C. (1988). Evolutionary costs of aggression revealed by testosterone manipulations in freeliving male lizards. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 23, 21–26. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00303053

Marler, C.A. & Moore, M.C. (1989). Time and energy costs of aggression in testosterone-implanted free-living male mountain spiny lizards (*Sceloporus jarrovi*). *Physiol. Zool.* 62, 1334–1350. https://doi.org/10.1086/physzool.62.6. 30156216

Marler, C.A., Walsberg, G., White, M.L. & Moore, M.C. (1995). Increased energy expenditure due to increased territorial defence in male lizards after phenotypic manipulation. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* **37**, 225–231. https://doi. org/10.1007/BF00177401

Maynard-Smith, J. & Harper, D.G.C. (1988). The evolution of aggression: can selection generate variability? *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond., B, Biol. Sci.* **319**, 557–570.

Maynard-Smith, J. & Parker, G.A. (1976). The logic of asymmetrical contests. Anim. Behav. 32, 564–578.

Molina-Borja, M., Andron-Fumer, M.P. & Alfonso-Martin, T. (1998). Morphological and behavioural traits affecting the intensity and outcome of male contests in *Gallotia galloti* galloti (Family Lacertidae). *Ethology* **104**, 316–322. https://d oi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0310.1998.tb00071.x

Moretz, J.A. (2003). Aggression and RHP in the northern swordtail fish, *Xiphophorus cortezi*: the relationship between size and contest dynamics in male–male competition. *Ethology* **109**, 995–1008. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.0179-1613.2003. 00938.x

Nunes, V.D.A. & Jaeger, R.G. (1989). Salamander aggressiveness increases with length of territorial ownership. *Copeia* **1989**, 712–718. https://doi.org/10.2307/1445499

Olsson, M. (1992). Contest success in relation to size and residency in male sand lizard, *Lacerta agilis*. *Anim. Behav.* 44, 386–388. https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-3472(92)90046-C

Parker, G.A. (1974). Assessment strategy and the evolution of fighting behaviour. J. Theor. Biol. 47, 223–243. https://doi. org/10.1016/0022-5193(74)90111-8

Sacchi, R., Scali, S., Pupin, F., Gentilli, A., Galeotti, P. & Fasola, M. (2007). Microgeographic variation of colour morph frequency and biometry of common wall lizards. J. Zool. **273**, 389–396. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.2007. 00342.x

Sacchi, R., Pupin, F., Gentilli, A., Rubolini, D., Scali, S., Fasola, M. & Galeotti, P. (2009). Male-male combats in a polymorphic lizard: residency and size, but not color, affect fighting rules and contest outcome. *Aggress. Behav.* 35, 274– 283. https://doi.org/10.1002/ab.20305

Sánchez-Hernández, P., Ramírez-Pinilla, P.M. & Molina-Borja, M. (2012). Agonistic and courtship behaviour patterns in the skink *Chalcides viridanus* (Fam. Scincidae) from Tenerife. *Acta Ethol.* **15**, 65–71. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10211-011-0109-6

Shettleworth, S.J. (1998). *Cognition, evolution, and behavior*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Stamps, J.A. (1995). Motor learning and the value of familiar space. Am. Nat. 146, 41–58. https://doi.org/10.1086/285786

Stamps, J.A. & Krishnan, V.V. (1994). Territory acquisition in lizards: I First encounters. *Animal Behav.* 47, 1375–1385. https://doi.org/10.1006/anbe.1994.1185

Stuart-Fox, D.M. & Johnston, G.R. (2005). Experience overrides colour in lizard contests. *Behaviour* 142, 329–350. https://doi. org/10.1163/1568539053778265

Temeles, E.J. (1994). The role of neighbors in territorial system: when are they dear "enemies"? *Anim. Behav.* **47**, 339–350. https://doi.org/10.1006/anbe.1994.1047

Tokarz, R.R. (1985). Body size as a factor determining dominance in staged agonistic encounters between male brown anoles (*Anolis sagrei*). *Anim. Behav.* 33, 746–753. https://doi. org/10.1016/S0003-3472(85)80006-3

Torr, G.A. & Shine, R. (1994). An ethogram for the small scincid lizard *Lampropholis guichenoti*. *Amphib-Reptil.* 15, 21–34. https://doi.org/10.1163/156853894X00524

Vanhooydonk, B., Herrel, A., Van Damme, R. & Irschick, D.J. (2006). Does dewlap size predict male bite performance in Jamaican Anolis lizards? Funct. Ecol. 19, 38–42. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.0269-8463.2005.00940.x

Vervust, B., Pafilis, P., Valakos, E.D., Grbac, I. & Van Damme, R. (2010). Anatomical and physiological changes associated with a recent dietary shift in the lizard *Podarcis sicula*. *Physiol. Biochem. Zool.* 83, 632–642. https://doi.org/10.1086/ 651704

Whiting, M.J., Stuart-Fox, D.M., O'Connor, D., Firth, D., Bennett, N.C. & Blomberg, S.P. (2006). Ultraviolet signals ultra-aggression in a lizard. *Anim. Behav.* 72, 353–363. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2005.10.018

Zuffi, M.A.L., Casu, V. & Marino, S. (2012). The Italian wall lizard, *Podarcis siculus*, along the Tuscanian coast of central Italy: biometrical features, and phenotypic patterns. *Herpetol. J.* 22, 207–212.