# Convergent Evolution Associated with Habitat Decouples Phenotype from Phylogeny in a Clade of Lizards

# Shelley Edwards<sup>1,2</sup>\*, Bieke Vanhooydonck<sup>3</sup>, Anthony Herrel<sup>4</sup>, G. John Measey<sup>1,5</sup>, Krystal A. Tolley<sup>1,2</sup>

1 Applied Biodiversity Research Division, South African National Biodiversity Institute, Cape Town, South Africa, 2 Department of Botany and Zoology, University of Stellenbosch, Matieland, South Africa, 3 Department of Biology, University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium, 4 UMR 7179 CNRS/MNHN, Département d'Ecologie et de Gestion de la Biodiversité, Paris, France, 5 Department of Zoology, Nelson Mandela Metropolitan University, Port Elizabeth, South Africa

#### Abstract

Convergent evolution can explain similarity in morphology between species, due to selection on a fitness-enhancing phenotype in response to local environmental conditions. As selective pressures on body morphology may be strong, these have confounded our understanding of the evolutionary relationships between species. Within the speciose African radiation of lacertid lizards (Eremiadini), some species occupy a narrow habitat range (e.g. open habitat, cluttered habitat, strictly rupicolous, or strictly psammophilic), which may exert strong selective pressures on lizard body morphology. Here we show that the overall body plan is unrelated to shared ancestry in the African radiation of Eremiadini, but is instead coupled to habitat use. Comprehensive Bayesian and likelihood phylogenies using multiple representatives from all genera (2 nuclear, 2 mitochondrial markers) show that morphologically convergent species thought to represent sister taxa within the same genus are distantly related evolutionary lineages (*lchnotropis squamulosa* and *lchnotropis spp.; Australolacerta rupicola* and *A. australis*). Hierarchical clustering and multivariate analysis of morphological characters suggest that body, and head, width and height (stockiness), all of which are ecologically relevant with respect to movement through habitat, are similar between the genetically distant species. Our data show that convergence in morphology, due to adaptation to similar environments, has confounded the assignment of species leading to misidentification of the taxonomic position of *l. squamulosa* and the *Australolacerta* species.

Citation: Edwards S, Vanhooydonck B, Herrel A, Measey GJ, Tolley KA (2012) Convergent Evolution Associated with Habitat Decouples Phenotype from Phylogeny in a Clade of Lizards. PLoS ONE 7(12): e51636. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0051636

Editor: Art F. Y. Poon, British Columbia Centre for Excellence in HIV/AIDS, Canada

Received July 26, 2012; Accepted October 19, 2012; Published December 12, 2012

**Copyright:** © 2012 Edwards et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Funding:** The authors thank the National Research Foundation (NRF) of South Africa (South African Biosystematics Initiative-SABI, Key International Science Collaboration-KISC) and the International Foundation of Science (IFS) of Sweden (D/5009-1) and Oppenheimer & Sons for funding this work. SE was supported by NRF Free-standing (Grant no: 74973) and SABI Grant-holder linked bursaries. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

\* E-mail: s.edwards@sanbi.org.za

# Introduction

Convergent evolution is attributed to strong selection on a fitness-enhancing phenotype in response to local environmental conditions [1]. In reptiles, convergent evolution is found among species of Anolis lizards [2-4], amongst others, showing that similarities in environmental conditions and habitat use may elicit similar adaptive evolutionary responses by directional selection regardless of ancestry. Similar morphologies are also observed among distantly related rock-dwelling [5–8], burrowing [9–12], as well as arboreal lizards [13–15]. In each of these cases, adaptation is ascribed to selection on an animal's body plan in order to optimize performance in a given habitat. For example, rockdwelling species typically have flat heads and bodies that allow them to fit into narrow cracks, yet long forelimbs adapted for climbing [8,16]. In contrast, some arboreal species that specialize on narrow substrates have short limbs and narrow, tall bodies [17-20].

Southern Africa has a diverse assemblage of macro-habitats, from tropical forest to desert, and ranges from sea level to more

than 3000 m. This complexity at the macro scale is interwoven with a diversity of micro-habitat structure that includes different substrates and vegetation organization, and the heterogeneity at both scales may be a strong factor in producing high diversity and endemism of reptiles in the region [21,22]. Indeed, many species are restricted and habitat specific at the micro scale (e.g. chameleons, cordylids), whilst others are apparent generalists (e.g. skinks). Morphological adaptation to this diversity in habitat structure should be reflected in phylogenies as lineages showing morphological convergence in species living in similar habitat structure, or divergence in species occupying different habitat structure.

Although phenotypic convergence is a common explanation for morphological similarity, such occurrences can be the result of phylogenetic history, chance and/or pre-existing constraints ('exaptation') rather than adaptation to similar environments [1]. Natural selection favors traits that increase fitness, even if the trait did not necessarily evolve in response to those selective pressures. While experimental conditions simulating environments can convincingly demonstrate whether natural selection drives convergence in morphological traits [23], it is more difficult to test convergence through adaptation to shared environments within a natural setting [24].Yet, repeated evolution of convergent phenotypes in divergent lineages inhabiting similar environments is often considered strong evidence of natural selection operating on morphological traits.

Here, we examine convergence of ecologically relevant phenotypic traits to habitat structure (cluttered and open vegetation) in a diverse group of lizards (Eremiadini, Lacertidae) from southern Africa. We predicted that ecologically relevant traits would converge in association with habitat similarity, regardless of evolutionary history. We postulated that species utilizing cluttered habitats would have relatively slender bodies and short limbs compared to species utilizing open habitats, to allow for efficient movement through the cluttered matrix [17,25,26]. To test this hypothesis, we investigated the evolutionary relationships in the Eremiadini using a multi-locus phylogenetic approach, in combination with principal components analysis and hierarchical clustering for morphological data on traits that are considered ecologically relevant to lizards [8,27]. The clusters were then compared a priori to habitat structure to examine occurrences of convergence in morphology between species.

#### Methods

## Sampling

Taxa chosen for the study were genera from the southern African clade of the lacertid lizards from the tribe Eremiadini (five genera out of 20 total genera in Eremiadini). Samples for the genetic analyses were obtained either from field trips conducted by myself or from samples, collected by various researchers, housed in the collection at the South African National Biodiversity Institute. Some of the individuals sampled have been sequenced previously for the 16S and RAG1 genes, and accession numbers and references are provided in Table S1. Samples for the morphometric analyses included measurement of live lizards during field work, as well as voucher specimens housed at the Port Elizabeth Museum (PEM), the Ditsong Museum (TM) and the Ellerman Collection at Stellenbosch University.

#### Ethics Statement

Ethics clearance was obtained from University of Stellenbosch (permit no. 11NP-EDW01) and South African National Biodiversity Institute (permit no. 002/10), permitting the collection and handling of the lizards, as well as the sampling of tail tissue.

#### Laboratory Protocols

Genomic DNA was isolated from the tail or liver tissue preserved in 95–100% ethanol according to standard procedures involving a proteinase K digestion followed by salt-extraction [28]. Standard PCR procedures were utilized to amplify two mitochondrial (16S and ND4) and two nuclear genes (RAG1 and KIAA-2018). The nuclear genes were chosen because these genes have been shown to evolve at a rate that may allow high confidence in both the terminal and the deeper nodes [29,30]. For the mitochondrial genes, the primer pairs ND4 and tRNALeu [31], and L2510 and H3080 16S rRNA [32] were used to amplify the ND4 and 16S genes, respectively. The primers RAG1-F0 and RAG1-R1 [33], and KIAA2018-F1 and KIAA2018-R2 [30] were used to amplify the nuclear RAG1 and KIAA-2018 genes, respectively.

Amplification of the four genes was carried out with  $\sim 25-50 \text{ ng/}\mu\text{l}$  genomic DNA and a 25  $\mu\text{l}$  reaction containing a thermophilic buffer (50 mM KCl, 10 mM Tris–HCl, pH 9.0),

1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.2  $\mu$ M of each primer, 0.2 mM dNTPs, and 0.025 U/l Taq polymerase. Cycling profile for 16S, ND4 and KIAA-2018 genes included an initial denaturing step at 94°C for 4 minutes, followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 50–55°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 45 s, with a final extension at 72°C for 8 min. The amplification of the RAG1 gene region involved a step-down procedure [34]. The PCR products were sent to Macrogen Corp. (Seoul, Korea) for sequencing using the forward primers in all cases. Sequences were aligned in BioEdit Sequence Alignment Editor v. 7.0.5.2 [35]. All sequences have been deposited in EMBL-Bank (see Table S2 for all voucher information, with corresponding EMBL-Bank accession numbers).

#### Genetic Analyses

We first analyzed the mitochondrial (16S vs. ND4) and nuclear (RAG1 vs. KIAA2018) datasets separately to ensure that there was no conflict in the markers within each genome, using a partition homogeneity test [36,37] in PAUP\* v4.0b10 [38]. The two mitochondrial and the two nuclear genes were not incongruent, so the partition homogeneity test was run again (nuclear vs. mitochondrial) to ensure that there was no conflict between the two genomes. Phylogenetic trees were constructed of the 1) mitochondrial gene dataset (Fig. S1), 2) the nuclear gene dataset (Fig. S1) and 3) the combined total evidence dataset (Fig. S2). The saturation of the codon positions was assessed using the program Dambe v.5.2.65 [39]. Even though the third codon position of the ND4 gene was found to be saturated, it was not excluded from the analyses, but rather it was coded as a separate partition. Two individuals of Heliobolus lugubris were used as the outgroup, as it is within the sister clade to the southern African radiation within Eremiadini [33,40]. Sequence divergences were determined by estimating the uncorrected p-distances between and within species using the program MEGA v.4 [41].

Two different algorithms were utilized to obtain phylogenetic trees (Figs. 1 and S1). Bayesian inference (BI) was performed using the program MrBayes v.3.1.0 [42,43]. Priors in MrBayes were set according to the evolutionary model which best fits the dataset using the program MrModeltest v.3.6 [44], and uniform priors were kept for all other parameters. The MCMC were run with 2 parallel runs for 10 million generations each, with trees sampled every 1000 generations. The number of generations to discard as burn-in was determined by examining the number of generations 1) at which the standard deviation of split frequencies stabilized (at less than 0.001), 2) at which the log-likelihood tree scores reached stationarity, and 3) the effective sample sizes (ESS) of all parameters which were  $\geq 600$  (using the program Tracer v.1.5) [45]). A 50% majority rule tree was constructed with the burn-in excluded using the "sumt" command in MrBayes, and nodes with  $\geq 0.95$  posterior probability were considered supported. A Shimodaira-Hasegawa (SH) test [46,47] was performed to compare the consensus tree with a tree where I. squamulosa was constrained to be closely related to Ichnotropis.

A partitioned maximum likelihood (ML) analysis was also run in RAxML v.7.2.8 [48], at the CIPRES Science Gateway (www. phylo.org/sub\_sections/portal/) using the same partitions as the Bayesian analysis, a GTR+I+G model of evolution, and automatic halting of bootstrapping [48,49].

### Characterization of Habitat

Two broad habitat types (open and cluttered) were defined for our analysis based on the general characteristics of vegetation structure associated with each species sampled. Open habitat lacks vegetation completely (i.e. dunes) or is sparsely vegetated, and mainly characterized by open sand, gravel or rock patches briefly





— 0.2 substitutions/site

**Figure 1. Phylogeny of the southern African lacertids.** Phylogenetic reconstruction (left) using Bayesian inference (BI) of the southern African radiation of the lacertid subfamily Eremiadini based on the combined partial *16S*, *ND4*, *RAG1* and *KIAA* gene regions and inferred by BI and maximum likelihood (ML). Nodes with filled circles indicate BI posterior probabilities  $\geq 0.95$  and ML bootstrap values  $\geq 75\%$ . Representatives of the body shapes for each general clade are included (right) to show differences in bauplan of the main genetic clades. Key to the color coding for genera and species abbreviations: *Australolacerta* (red): AA = *Australolacerta australis*, AR = *A. rupicola; lchnotropis* (gray): IB = *lchnotropis bivittata*, IC = *I. capensis*, IS = *I. squamulosa; Meroles* (orange): MA = *Meroles anchietae*, MCT = *M. ctenodactylus*, MCU = *M. cuneirostris*, MK = *M. knoxii*, MS = *M. suborbitalis; Pedioplanis* (light blue): PB = *Pedioplanis burchelli*, PI = *P. inornata*, PLL = *P. lineoocellata lineoocellata*, PLP = *P. l. pulchella*, PN = *P. namaquensis; Tropidosaura*(blue): TG = *Tropidosaura gularis*, TMM = *T. montana montana*, TMR = *T. m. rangeri*. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0051636.g001

interspersed with bushes or grass tufts. A cluttered habitat is densely vegetated (i.e. with low vegetation such as grasses, sedges and restios, with an abundance of bushes in various sizes), with intermittent open patches (Fig. S3).

### Morphometric Analyses

Body length (snout-vent length; SVL) and biometric characters on the head, hind limbs and fore limbs were measured externally using digital calipers for each individual. Measurements on the crania that related to the length of the head have seldom been investigated in lizards in terms of habitat openness, however the height and width of the crania have been linked to the use of specific refuges in cluttered environments (e.g. crevices in rocky habitats [8]). Measurements taken on the head were: head length (HL) from snout-tip to the back of the parietal bone, head width (HW) measured as the widest part of the head, head height (HH) measured as the height from the top of the interparietal scale to the bottom of the lower jaw (including muscles), lower-jaw length (LJL), coronoid to snout-tip length (CT), and quadrate to snout-tip length (OT). Limb measurements were taken of both the hind- and fore-limbs, as cluttered habitats have been cited as a factor in limb reduction [26,50], and longer limbs may be necessitated by an open habitat for higher sprint speeds, in order to effectively escape predators [17,25,26]. Measurements taken on the limbs were as follows: the femur length (FM), tibia length (TB), humerus length (HM) and radius length (RD). Other body dimensions measured were body height (BH) and body width (BW). Accession numbers for each individual and number of individuals measured for each species is detailed in Table S2.

Hierarchical clustering of the species was performed in the program R Studio v.0.94.84 [51], to identify morphological clusters. The mean value per species (17 species) of each size-regressed measurement (12 measurements) was calculated (pack-age: 'base', function: 'mean' [50]) and the mean values per species for each measurement were regressed onto the mean snout-vent length (SVL) using a linear model to eliminate the effect of size (package: 'stats', functions: 'lm' and 'resid' [52]). Hierarchical clustering of the residual distances was performed (package: 'pvclust', function: 'pvclust' [53]) in which the distance matrix was calculated using the "correlation" option, the clustering dendrogram was constructed using the "complete" option, and support values for the nodes were estimated using 1000 bootstrap replicates.

To examine trait differences among the morphological groupings obtained in the hierarchical clustering, a principal components analyses (PCA) on the residuals was performed in the program SPSS v.15 (SPSS, Inc.). Varimax rotation was used and three principal components (PC) with eigenvectors greater than 1 were extracted, which accounted for ca. 74.45% of the total variance (Table 1). The KMO test indicated sampling was adequate (i.e. in excess of 0.5), all communalities were high (i.e. in excess of 0.5) suggesting that all variables were reliable contributors to the analysis, there were sizeable correlations between all original variables, and low correlations in the residual correlation matrix [54]. The three PC's extracted (Table 1) loaded highest with body and head width (PC1), head lengths (PC2), and limbs (PC3). Boxplots (Figs. 2 & S4) were constructed using the PC scores for these same groups (package: 'stats', function: 'boxplot' [51]). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was carried out on the three principal components extracted with the morphological cluster as the fixed factor (package: 'stats', function: 'anova' [51]).

# Results

The combined mitochondrial and the nuclear topologies (BI and ML) were congruent (Figs. 1 and S1) and largely consistent with previous work [33,40]. Our data however shows two notable exceptions due to the inclusion of additional taxa (Ichnotropis spp. and Australolacerta spp.), both of which suggest that factors independent of ancestry are driving morphological evolution in the Eremiadini. Firstly, the two species of Australolacerta are separate evolutionary lineages, and form part of a deep basal polytomy at the generic level (Figs. 1 & S1), despite the ecological and morphological similarities that were used to place them in the same genus (Fig. 2 [55]). Secondly, the phylogeny shows that Ichnotropis squamulosa shares its most recent ancestry with members of the genus Meroles (Figs. 1 & S1), rather than with species in the morphologically similar genus Ichnotropis (Fig. 2), leading to a misclassification at the generic level. There was a significant difference between the Bayesian consensus tree and the tree where I. squamulosa was constrained as part of Ichnotropis (SH test: P<0.01). Sequence divergences also show that *Ichnotropis squamulosa* is highly divergent from other Ichnotropis species examined (16S: 10.96±2.27%, ND4:21.80±2.62%, RAG1:5.09±0.88%, KIAA:  $3.38\pm0.1\%$ ). In both cases, convergence in bauplan is coupled to traits associated with body/head width and limb dimensions (Fig. 2).

The phylogenetic analyses show that the two species, A. australis and A. rupicola, are separate evolutionary lineages, and form a basal polytomy with all other Eremiadini genera except Meroles. The SH test was not performed with Australolacerta constrained as monophyletic group, due to the unresolved relationship between the two species. The sequence divergence between these lineages were high (16S:  $9.55 \pm 2.08\%;$ ND4:22.69±1.60%; RAG1:3.74±0.76%; KIAA: 1.90±0.47%), consistent with generic divisions in southern African Lacertidae (16S: 7.57±1.38%; ND4:21.21±1.33%; RAG1:4.07±0.54%; KIAA: 2.84±0.60%, this study) as well as others (combined RAG1 & C-MOS: 1.40% between Archaeolacerta and Zootoca, [33]). Due to the high sequence divergences, we suggest that they have been incorrectly placed together in a single genus due to their similar body plans.

The adaptive nature of convergence in Eremiadini is demonstrated by the significant association of ecologically relevant traits and habitat structure. Hierarchical clustering of morphological features resulted in two major clusters that correspond to A) cluttered and B) open habitats (Fig. 2). These morphological clusters do not correspond to the evolutionary history of these taxa, but instead are significantly different with respect to sets of ecologically relevant characteristics related to habitat structure. Each cluster was further subdivided into either three (Cluster A: A1, A2 and A3) or two (Cluster B: B1 and B2) subclusters. Some of the subclusters can be linked to particular microhabitats within a cluttered or open habitat. For example, Cluster B2 species are dune-dwelling, whilst species of Cluster A2 and A3 are rupicolous.

Multivariate analyses (principal components analysis and analysis of variance) indicate that the two morphological clusters differ significantly in terms of body/head slenderness (PC1: F = 430.19, p < 0.001, 50.74% of the variation; Table 1), with species inhabiting cluttered habitats being slender and more elongate compared to those in more open habitats (Figs. 1 & S5). The two morphological clusters did not differ significantly for the second principal component (PC2: F = 2.60, p = 0.11, 14.24% of the variation) that loaded positively with most head measurements, particularly lengths (Table 1). An exception is that dune-dwelling species (B2) have significantly longer heads compared to clusters B1 (F = 98.86, p < 0.0001) and A2 (F = 24.73, p < 0.0001) (Table 2).



**Figure 2. Clustering and principal components analysis of morphological markers.** Boxplots of the first three principal component axes (center) for each morphological group (A, B) retrieved by hierarchical clustering (shown right). Positive values of the PC axes indicate larger body dimensions, whilst negative values indicate smaller body dimensions. Morphological groupings are shaded as follows: A1 = bright green, A2 = lime green, A3 = green, B1 = blue, B2 = purple. The phylogenetic tree (left) is color coded by species according to its morphological group membership. Morphological measurements are shown on lizard schematic, and line colors correspond to sets of original variables that loaded onto each PC (PC1 = red, PC2 = yellow, PC3 = light blue). Percentage of variation contributed to each PC axis is given. Key to the species abbreviations as in Fig. 1. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0051636.g002

The two clusters differed significantly for PC3 (F = 15.77, p < 0.001; 9.47% of the variation), however this may be due to the relatively shorter forelimbs of *Tropidosaura* (A3).

# Discussion

Whilst morphological characters are traditionally used to define species, descriptions that incorporate multidisciplinary approaches, including morphological, genetic, behavioral and ecological aspects, are typically better informed (*e.g.* [56]). Our data shows that among the morphological similarities upon which taxonomic classifications for Eremiadini are based [57], some are

the result of convergence due to habitat structure and not shared ancestry. We show that convergent evolution of morphological characters has led to genetically distant, but partially sympatric (*Ichnotropis* spp.) and parapatric (*Australolacerta* spp.) species being considered as sister taxa. Such examples of misclassification due to phenotypic similarities between species are increasingly familiar, suggesting that morphological adaptation in response to similar environments is pervasive, rather than exceptional. Even what might appear to be obvious cases of shared evolutionary history based on morphology, have turned up surprising developments revealing incorrect classifications at the generic level (e.g. geckos of **Table 1.** Principal components analysis loadings of size-regressed measurements.

Residuals	PC1	PC2	PC3
Body width (BW)	0.89	0.07	0.03
Head width (HW)	0.79	0.32	0.27
Body height (BH)	0.77	0.14	-0.02
Head height (HH)	0.60	0.53	0.21
Lower jaw length (LJL)	0.27	0.81	0.10
Quadrate-Tip length (QT)	0.29	0.78	0.29
Head length (HL)	0.40	0.76	0.23
Coronoid-Tip length (CT)	-0.07	0.70	0.27
Radius length (RD)	0.02	0.23	0.88
Humerus length (HM)	0.02	0.21	0.87
Tibia length (TB)	0.52	0.27	0.66
Femur length (FM)	0.58	0.30	0.59
% variance	50.74	14.27	9.47
F-value	430.19 (***)	2.60 (ns)	15.77 (***)

Principal components analysis of size-regressed measurements, with loadings of each measurement for the three axes that had eigenvalues >1.0.Characters that loaded most strongly with each principal component are in bold. F-values from the analysis of variance between two main morphological clusters are shown. \*\*\*P<0.001; ns-not significant.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0051636.t001

the genera *Pachydactylus/Elasmodactylus* [58]; chameleons of the genera *Archaius/Rieppeleon* [59]).

Convergence in phenotype can be the result of random evolutionary change [1], however the observed morphological convergence in the southern African lacertids suggests adaptation to particular environments. The high genetic divergence between morphologically and ecologically similar species suggests that vegetation density (i.e. habitat clutter) is a major driving force in the evolution of phenotypic diversity in these lizards, irrespective of ancestry. Within the lacertid lizards, the phylogenetic position of species inhabiting particular environments (i.e. xeric or mesic environments) was investigated previously [33], and a unique monophyletic trend from mesic to xeric species within the Lacertidae could not be demonstrated, despite previous morphological phylogenies which showed this trend [57,60]. With the comparison of the molecular tree to the broad environmental categories, it was suggested that there are multiple origins of xericadapted species within Eremiadini. However, here we show that morphology of a lizard is likely to be driven by its microhabitat, with less association to broad scale biome features. In fact, for many reptiles, geographic proximity influences phylogenetic position (e.g. [13,61]) making it unsurprising that a link exists between broad scale environmental classifications and phylogenetic position. For example, within Meroles, M. anchietae and M. cuneirostris are in the same clade, have a similar body plan and both inhabit a xeric environment. However, the lack of phylogenetic independence means that similarities due to a common ancestor which inhabited the xeric region prior to diversification cannot be ruled out. Conversely, M. reticulatus is not within the same clade as M. anchietae and M. cuneirostris, but the bauplans of all three species are similar suggesting a separate origin of this morphology due to similarity in microhabitat (open habitat) within the xeric macrohabitat.

Whilst the morphological clusters were significantly different with respect to overall body slenderness (PC1) and linked to habitat openness, the lack of a significant difference for PC2 (Table 1) indicates that head shape is driven by factors other than habitat structure such as diet or sexual selection (e.g. [62,63]). Convergence in head shape within the dune-dwelling species (B2) may be as a result of their preference to sand-dive or to utilize burrows, both of which are behavioral adaptations for predator avoidance and thermoregulation [27,64]. The Ichnotropis (A1) head dimensions are not significantly different from the dunes cluster (B2) (F = 2.78, p = 0.10), and this could be due to a propensity for digging burrows for shelter and reproduction [65], thereby evolving the same relative head morphology [65]. Another possibility is that Ichnotropis may have a similar diet to the sanddwelling species, which may be driving the similarity in head shape [65].

In terms of limb lengths, the two morphological clusters were significantly different (PC3), in particular because of the short limbs in *Tropidosaura*. The shorter forelimbs in conjunction with their slender bodies may allow *Tropidosaura* to optimize maneuvering performance while negotiating cluttered vegetation (e.g. [17,27]), whereas the long limbs of the *Ichnotropis* spp. (A1) and

Table 2. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) results for morphological clusters.

PC1		D	f Sum-Sq	Mean-Sq	F-value	Ρ	PC2		Di	Sum- f Sq	Mean- Sq	F- value	Ρ	РСЗ		Di	Sum- f Sq	Mean- Sq	F-value	Ρ
A1	A2	1	0.67	0.67	1.54	0.22	A1	A2	1	37.50	37.50	56.04	<0.001	A1	A2	1	28.32	28.32	34.28	<0.001
	A3	1	1.84	1.84	6.64	0.01		A3	1	10.92	10.92	17.71	<0.001		A3	1	61.63	61.63	108.44	<0.001
	B1	1	63.95	63.95	147.48	<0.001		B1	1	27.60	27.60	36.36	<0.001		B1	1	6.91	6.91	7.09	0.01
	B2	1	139.27	139.26	409.44	<0.001		B2	1	1.90	1.90	2.78	0.10		B2	1	1.16	1.16	2.41	0.12
A2	A3	1	0.71	0.71	1.30	0.26	A2	A3	1	0.39	0.39	0.49	0.48	A2	A3	1	20.25	20.25	24.28	<0.001
	B1	1	89.80	89.80	181.98	<0.001		B1	1	6.21	6.21	7.79	0.01		B1	1	17.64	17.64	17.15	<0.001
	B2	1	175.14	175.14	384.49	<0.001		B2	1	73.35	73.35	97.15	<0.001		B2	1	25.77	25.77	42.71	<0.001
A3	B1	1	36.02	36.02	77.89	<0.001	A3	B1	1	0.44	0.44	0.54	0.46	A3	B1	1	50.91	50.91	50.98	<0.001
	B2	1	73.60	73.60	197.33	<0.001		B2	1	18.76	18.76	24.73	<0.001		B2	1	58.64	58.64	156.77	<0.001
B1	B2	1	44.42	44.42	100.31	<0.001	B1	B2	1	77.69	77.69	98.86	<0.001	B1	B2	1	3.45	3.45	4.07	<0.001

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) results for morphological clusters (A1, A2, A3, B1 and B2; as in Fig. 2). Significant differences (P<0.05) are indicated in bold, italic font. PC = principal component, Df = degrees of freedom, Sum-Sq = sum of squares value, Mean-Sq = mean sum of squares value, P = significance value. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0051636.t002 those inhabiting more open habitats (B1 and B2) should increase sprint performance (e.g. [26,27,66–68]). Relative forelimb and hindlimb dimensions, however, need to be investigated in conjunction with substrate type and structure, as opposed to habitat structure, in order to better understand the evolution of limb dimensions in Eremiadini.

Although sub-sets of taxa from Meroles and Ichnotropis were investigated as part of higher level lacertid phylogenies, the placement of I. squamulosa within Meroles was not identified previously due to the inclusion of only a single Ichnotropis (I. squamulosa) and various Meroles (M. knoxii, M. suborbitalis or M. ctenodactylus) in those analyses [33,40,69]. Despite their placement in the phylogeny, I. capensis and I. squamulosa do not differ significantly morphologically, and cluster together when body dimensions, head measurements and limbs measurements are investigated. Both of these species possess more slender bodies relative to Meroles. In addition, they share characters not possessed by Meroles (rough scales and the absence of a nuchal collar). Because these two species have partially sympatric distributions, their overlapping niche might explain the observed morphological similarities. For example, limb dimensions could reflect adaptation to substrate type, while head shape similarities could reflect adaptation to similar diets. Although neither have a nuchal collar, this is also absent in other Meroles (i.e. M. anchietae), as well as other lacertids (e.g. Tropidosaura). Thus, the presence/absence of the collar is unlikely to be a synapomorphy (Fig. S1). Similarly, the presence of a gular fold (similar to a nuchal collar, but does not extend all the way around the head) does not appear to be a character than can be used to indicate shared ancestry (Fig. S1). The other characteristic feature that has linked these species in the past is the presence of rough (strongly keeled) scales. However, this is also not a synapomorphy as other lizards and even lacertids (e.g. Tropidosaura) are known to have rough scales suggesting shared scale micro-ornamentation is not an indication of a shared ancestry in lacertid lizards but rather related to microhabitat use [70].

There are several interesting implications of the placement of *I*. squamulosa within Meroles, rather than Ichnotropis. Sympatry often leads to competition for resources particularly between closely related species. Ichnotropis squamulosa is sympatric with I. capensis in the northern regions of its distribution, but is allopatric with all Meroles. Whilst Meroles are primarily sand-dwellers, Ichnotropis are classified as terrestrial [71], with a propensity for sandy habitats in mesic and arid savannah [65]. The reproductive cycles of I. squamulosa and I. capensis are not concordant [65,72,73], which is thought to prevent interspecific competition [72,73]. Both species are considered to be annual breeders, although the breeding times are staggered [73], and life-spans are unusually short for lacertid lizards. Ichnotropis squamulosa lives approximately eight to nine months, mating in late summer and hatchlings appear in spring [65,73]. Ichnotropis capensis may live only marginally longer (13–14 months), mating in spring with hatchlings appearing in late summer [65,73]. It has been suggested that this staggered reproductive pattern arose to prevent interspecific competition between closely related species [74]. However, because these species are not closely related, this shared life-history trait cannot be associated with a reduction of competition between sister taxa, but rather suggests an independent evolution of a similar but temporally disjunct reproductive strategy. The reasons for this are not clear, particularly because I. squamulosa still exhibits the same reproductive strategy in regions where the two species are not sympatric (e.g. in Upington, South Africa [73]) suggesting that the staggered reproduction of the two species is not driven by interspecific competition.

Morphological adaptation to a particular microhabitat may confer a greater fitness to individuals through their performance (for a review see [75]).We show that habitat openness determines the morphological shape of southern African lacertid species and we expect that these differences in morphology will, in turn, be associated to performance differences between the species. Those species adapted to open dunes may be better sprinters than those inhabiting cluttered rocky environments, whilst the rock-dwellers may be better climbers than sand dwellers. A closer investigation into associations between body and limb shape and performance in southern African lizards is needed to understand the functional implications of the morphological shape differences in southern African lacertid lizards.

### **Supporting Information**

Figure S1 Phylogenetic trees of the southern African radiation of the lacertid subfamily Eremiainae based on the partial (A) 16S, (B) ND4, (C) RAG1 and (D) KIAA gene regions and inferred by BI. Sample numbers are indicated at terminal tips, and species names are given (right). Posterior probabilities  $\geq 0.95$  are above the nodes. Key to the species abbreviations: Australolacerta (red): AA = Australolacerta australis, AR = A. rupicola; Ichnotropis (gray): IB = Ichnotropis bivittata, IC = I. capensis, IS = I. squamulosa; Meroles (orange): MA = Meroles anchietae, MCT = M. ctenodactylus, MCU = M. cuneirostris, MK = M. knoxii, MS = M. suborbitalis; Pedioplanis (light blue): PB = Pedioplanis burchelli, PI = P. inornata, PLL = P. lineoocellata lineoocellata, PLP = P. l. pulchella, PN = P. *Tropidosaura* (blue): TG = *Tropidosaura* gularis. namaquensis; TMM = T. montana montana, TMR = T. m. rangeri. (TIF)

**Figure S2** Phylogenetic tree of the southern African radiation of the lacertid subfamily Eremiainae based on the combined partial *16S*, *ND4*, *RAG1* and *KIAA* gene regions and inferred by BI and ML (Bayesian topology shown). Sample numbers are indicated at terminal tips, and species names are given. Posterior probabilities  $\geq 0.95$  are above the nodes and bootstrap values  $\geq 75\%$  are below nodes. Filled stars next to species names indicate presence of both a gular fold and a nuchal collar in the species, open stars indicate presence of gular fold only.

(TIF)

**Figure S3** Photographs of cluttered (A) and open habitat (B), as examples of the two habitat categories defined for this study (Photos by SE). (TIF)

**Figure S4** Hierarchical clustering of size-regressed morphological measurements, with "approximately unbiased" support values above the nodes. Support values  $\geq 0.95$  are considered supported. For key to cluster abbreviations see Figure 2 and key to the species abbreviations see Figure S2. (TIF)

**Figure S5** Scatterplots of the principal components analysis (PCA) scores for the first and second (bottom), and first and third (top) principal component axes. Colors of the symbols correspond to the hierarchical clustering: green = A1, light green = A2, dark green = A3, light blue = B1, dark blue = B2. Boxplots next to axes show the mean and 95% confidence intervals of each morphological clusterfor each PC axis, and label abbreviations as in Figure 2. Boxplots of PC1 below the scatterplots, PC2 are bottom-left and PC3 are top-left. Divisions for the boxplots are indicated by the color and at the axis.



**Table S1** List of specimens used in the phylogenetic analyses

 with genus and species names, ID numbers, Museum accession ID

 numbers and EMBL accession numbers for each gene.

 (DOCX)

**Table S2** List of specimens used in morphometric analyses, genus and species names, ID numbers from either the Ditsong museum (TM), Port Elizabeth Museum (PEM), or field trips. (DOCX)

#### Acknowledgments

We thank Duncan MacFadyen for logistical assistance and Oppenheimer & Sons for access to their nature reserves. AREVA Resources Namibia allowed access to their property to conduct some field work, and field work assistance was given by Werner Conradie, Hanlie Engelbrecht, Stephen Doucette-Riise, Joh Henschel, Buyisile Makhubo, Mycke Matengu, Stuart

#### References

- 1. Losos JB (2011) Convergence, adaptation, and constraint. Evolution 65: 1827–1840.
- Williams EE (1983) Ecomorphs, faunas, island size, and diverse end points in island radiations of *Anolis*. In: Huey RB, Pianka ER, Schoener TW, editors. Lizard ecology: studies of a model organism. Cambridge, MA, USA: Harvard University Press, 326–370.
- Glor RE, Kolbe JJ, Powell R, Larson A, Losos JB (2003) Phylogenetic analysis of ecological and morphological diversification in Hispaniolan trunk-ground anoles (*Anolis cybotes* group). Evolution 57: 2383–2397.
- Harmon IJ, Kolbe JJ, Cheverud JM, Losos JB (2005) Convergence and the multidimensional niche. Evolution 59(2): 409–421.
- Vitt LJ, Caldwell JP, Zani PA, Titus TA (1997) The role of habitat shift in the evolution of lizard morphology: evidence from tropical *Tropidurus*. PNAS. 94: 3828–3832.
- Losos JB, Mouton PLM, Bicke R, Cornelius I, Ruddock L (2002) The effect of body armature on escape behavior in cordylid lizards. Anim Behav 64: 313–321.
- Gifford ME, Ramos YM, Powell R, Parmerlee JS Jr (2003) Natural history of a saxicolous anole, *Anolis longitibialis* from Hispaniola. Herp Nat Hist 9: 15–20.
- Revell LJ, Johnson MA, Schulte JA, Kolbe JJ, Losos JB (2007) A Phylogenetic test for adaptive convergence in rock-dwelling lizards. Evolution 61(12): 2898– 2912.
- Lee MSY (1998) Convergent evolution and character correlation in burrowing reptiles: towards a resolution of squamate relationships. Biol J Linn Soc 65: 369– 453.
- Whiting AS, Bauer AM, Sites JW Jr (2003) Phylogenetic relationships and limb loss in sub-Saharan African scincine lizards (Squamata: Scincidae). Mol Phyl Evol 29(3): 582–598.
- Kearney M, Stuart BL (2004) Repeated evolution of limblessness and digging heads in worm lizards revealed by DNA from old bones. Proc R Soc Lond B 271: 1677–1683.
- Barros FC, Herrel A, Kohlsdorf T (2011) Head shape evolution in Gymnophthalmidae: does habitat use constrain the evolution of cranial design in fossorial lizards? J Evol Biol 24: 2423–2433.
- Tolley KA, Tilbury CR, Branch WR, Matthee CA (2004) Evolutionary history and phylogenetics of the southern African dwarf chameleons, *Bradypodion*. Mol Phylo Evol 30(2): 354–365.
- Tolley KA, Burger M, Turner AA, Matthee CA (2006) Biogeographic patterns and phylogeography of dwarf chameleons (*Bradypodion*) in an African biodiversity hotspot. Mol Ecol 15: 781–793.
- Tolley KA, Chase BM, Forest F (2008) Speciation and radiations track climate transitions since the Miocene Climatic Optimum: a case study of southern African chameleons. J Biogeog 35: 1402–1414.
- Kohlsdorf T, Grizante MB, Navas CB, Herrel A (2008) Head shape evolution in Tropidurinae lizards: does locomotion constrain diet? J Evol Biol 21: 781–790.
- Herrel A, Meyers JJ, Vanhooydonck B (2002) Relations between microhabitat use and limb shape in phrynosomatid lizards. Biol J Linn Soc 77: 149–163.
- Herrel A, Vanhooydonck B, Porck J, Irschick DJ (2008) Anatomical basis of differences in locomotor behaviour in *Anolis* lizards: A comparison between two ecomorphs. Bull Mus Comp Zool 159(4): 213–238.
- Herrel A, Measey GJ, Vanhooydonck B, Tolley KA (2011) Functional consequences of morphological differentiation between populations of the Cape Dwarf Chameleon (*Bradypodion pumilum*). Biol J Linn Soc 104: 692–700.
- Hopkins KP, Tolley KA (2011) Morphological variation in the Cape Dwarf Chameleon (*Bradypodion pumilum*) as a consequence of spatially explicit habitat structure differences. Biol J Linn Soc 102(4): 878–888.
- Bauer AM (1993) African-South American relationships: a perspective from the Reptilia. In: Goldblatt P, ed. Biological relationships between Africa and South America. New Haven, CT, USA: Yale University Press. 245–288.
- Branch WR, Tolley KA, Cunningham M, Bauer AM, Alexander G, et al. (2006) A plan for phylogenetic studies of southern African reptiles: proceedings of

Nielsen, and John Visser. We thank Bayworld (Port Elizabeth) and Ditsong (Pretoria) Museums, and the Ellerman Collection (Stellenbosch University) for access and use of their collections, and Bill Branch for advice and helpful discussions. This work was conducted under national and provincial research permits: Namibia (1504/2010 & 81305), Free State (01/6828), Western Cape (AAA004-0322-0035), Eastern Cape (CRO 157/10CR), Limpopo (CPM-333-00002), Northern Cape (FAUNA 110/2011), and North West (017297).

#### **Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: SE,KT,JM. Performed the experiments: SE. Analyzed the data: SE KT. Contributed reagents/ materials/analysis tools: SE AH BV JM KT. Wrote the manuscript: SE AH BV JM KT. Other (please specify): Funding of field work: SE AH BV JM KT.

a workshop held at Kirstenbosch, February 2006. Biodiversity Series 5. Pretoria: South African National Biodiversity Institute.

- Templeton AR (1996) Experimental evidence for the genetic-transilience model of speciation. Evolution 50: 909–915.
- Stayton CT (2006) Testing hypotheses of convergence with multivariate data: Morphological and functional convergence among herbivorous lizards. Evolution 60(4): 824–841.
- Vanhooydonck B, Van Damme R (1999) Evolutionary relationships between body shape and habitat use in lacertid lizards. Evol Ecol Res 1: 785–805.
- Melville J, Swain R (2000) Evolutionary relationships between morphology, performance and habitat openness in the lizard genus *Niveoscincus* (Scincidae: Lygosominae). Biol J Linn Soc 70: 667–683.
- Bauwens D, Garland T Jr, Castilla AM, Van Damme R (1995) Evolution of sprint speed in lacertid lizards: Morphological, physiological and behavioral consideration. Evolution 49(5): 848–863.
- Bruford MW, Hanotte O, Brookfield JFY, Burke T (1992) Single locus and multilocus DNA fingerprint. In: Ha R, ed. Molecular genetic analysis of populations: a practical approach. Oxford, England: IRL Press. 225–270.
- Townsend TM, Alegre RE, Kelley ST, Wiens JJ, Reeder TW (2008) Rapid development of multiple nuclear loci for phylogenetic analysis using genome resources: An example from squamate reptiles. Mol Phyl Evol 47: 129–142.
- Portik DM, Wood PL Jr, Grismer JL, Stanley EL, Jackman TR (2011) Identification of 104 rapidly-evolving nuclear protein-coding markers for amplification across scaled reptiles using genomic resources. Cons Gen Res 1– 10.
- Raxworthy CJ, Forstner MRJ, Nussbaum RA (2002) Chameleon radiations by oceanic dispersal. Nature 415: 784–787.
- Palumbi S (1996) Nucleic acids II: the polymerase chain reaction. 205–247. In: Hillis DM, Moritz C, Mable BK, eds. Molecular systematics, 2nd edn. Sunderland, MA, USA: Sinauer Associates.
- Mayer W, Pavlicev M (2007) The phylogeny of the family Lacertidae (Reptilia) based on nuclear DNA sequences: Convergent adaptations to arid habitats within the subfamily Eremiainae. Mol Phyl Evol 44: 1155–1163.
- Groth JG, Barrowclough GF (1999) Basal divergences in birds and the phylogenetic utility of the nuclear RAG-1 gene. Mol Phyl Evol 12: 115–123.
- Hall TA (1999) BioEdit, a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symp Ser (Oxf) 41, 95–98.
- Farris JS, Kallersjo M, Kluge AG, Bult C (1994) Testing significance of congruence. Cladistics 10: 315–320.
- Farris JS, Kallersjo M, Kluge AG, Bult C (1995) Constructing a significance test for incongruence. Syst Biol 44: 570–572.
- Swofford DL (2002) PAUP\*. Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (\*and Other Methods), 4.0 edn. Sunderland, MA, USA: Sinauer Associates.
- Xia X, Xie Z, Salemi M, Chen L, Wang Y (2003) An index of substitution saturation and its application. Mol Phyl Evol 26: 1–7.
- Kapli P, Poulakakis N, Lymberakis P, Mylonas M (2011) A re-analysis of the molecular phylogeny of Lacertidae with currently available data. Basic Appl Herp 25: 97–104.
- Tamura K, Dudley J, Nei M, Kumar S (2007) MEGA4: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) software version 4.0. Mol Biol Evol 24: 1596–1599.
- Huelsenbeck JP, Ronquist F (2001) MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogeny. Bioinformatics 17: 754–755.
- 43. Ronquist F, Huelsenbeck JP (2003) MRBAYES 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. Bioinformatics 19: 1572–1574.
- Posada D, Crandall KA (1998) MODELTEST: testing the model of DNA substitution. Bioinformatics 14(9): 817–818.
- Rambaut A, Drummond AJ (2007) Tracer version 1.5. Computer program and documentation distributed by author, website http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/Tracer, accessed July 2011.

- Shimodaira H, Hasegawa M (1999) Multiple comparisons of log-likelihoods with applications to phylogenetic inference. Mol Biol Evol 16: 1114–1116.
- Goldman N, Anderson JP, Rodrigo AG (2000) Likelihood-based tests of topologies in phylogenetics. Syst Biol 49: 652–670.
- Stamatakis A (2006) RAxML-VI-HPC: Maximum Likelihood-based Phylogenetic Analyses with Thousands of Taxa and Mixed Models. Bioinformatics. 22(21): 2688–2690.
- Stamatakis A, Hoover P, Rougemont J (2008) A rapid bootstrap algorithm for the RAxML web servers. Syst Biol 57: 758–771.
- Wiens JJ, Singluff JL (2001) How lizards turn into snakes: a phylogenetic analysis of body form evolution in anguid lizards. Evolution 55: 2303–2318.
- R Development Core Team (2011) R: A language and environment for statistical computing (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) ISBN 3–900051–07–0, URL http://www.R-project.org/, accessed May 2012.
- Becker RA, Chambers JM, Wilks AR (1988) The New S Language. Pacific Grove, CA, USA: Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole. ISBN 053409192X.
- Suzuki R, Shimodaira H (2004) An application of multiscale bootstrap resampling to hierarchical clustering of microarray data: How accurate are these clusters? The Fifteenth International Conference on Genome Informatics 2004, p 34.
- Tabachnick BG, Fidell LS (1996) Using multivariate statistics, 3rd edn. New York: Harper Collins.
- FitzSimons VFM (1943) The lizards of South Africa. Transv Mus Mem 1: 1– 528.
- Leaché AD, Kooa MS, Spencera CL, Papenfussa TJ, Fisher RN, et al. (2009). Quantifying ecological, morphological, and genetic variation to delimit species in the coast horned lizard species complex (*Phrynosoma*). PNAS 30 (106): 12418– 12423.
- Arnold EN (1989) Towards a phylogeny and biogeography of the Lacertidae: relationships within an Old-World family of lizards derived from morphology. Bull Nat Hist Mus Zool 55: 209–257.
- Bauer AM, Lamb T (2005) Phylogenetic relationships of southern African geckos in the *Pachydactylus* Group (Squamata: Gekkonidae). Afr J Herp 54(2): 105–129.
- Townsend M, Tolley KA, Glaw F, Bohme W, Vences M (2011) Eastward from Africa: palaeocurrent-mediated chameleon dispersal to the Seychelles islands. Biol Lett 7: 225–228.
- Arnold EN (2004) Overview of morphological evolution and radiation in the Lacertidae. In : Pérez-Mellado V, Riera N, Perera A, editorss. The biology of lacertid lizards. Evolutionary and ecological perspectives. Institut Menorquí d'Estudis, Recerca, 8.Maó, Menorca. 11–36.

- Leaché AD, Chong RA, Papenfuss TJ, Wagner P, Böhme W, et al. (2009) Phylogeny of the genus Agama based on mitochondrial DNA sequence data. Bonner zoologische Beiträge 56 (4): 273–278.
- Measey GJ, Hopkins K, Tolley KA (2009) Morphology, ornaments and performance in two chameleon ecomorphs: is the casque bigger than the bite? Zoology 112: 217–226.
- Herrel A, DaCostaCottam M, Godbeer K, Sanger T, Losos JB (2011) An ecomorphological analysis of native and introduced populations of the endemic lizard *Anolis maynardi* of the Cayman Islands. Breviora 522: 1–10.
- Arnold EN (1995) Identifying the effects of history on adaptation: origins of different sand-diving techniques in lizards. J Zool 235(3): 351–388.
- Branch W (1998) Field guide to snakes and other reptiles of southern Africa. Cape Town, South Africa: Struik Publishers. 160–176.
- Bonine KE, Garland T Jr (1999) Sprint performance of phrynosomatid lizards, measured on a high-speed treadmill, correlates with hindlimb length. J Zool, Lond 248: 255–265.
- Vanhooydonck B, Van Damme R, Aerts P (2001) Speed and stamina trade-off in lacertid lizards. Evolution. 55(5): 1040–1048.
- Vanhooydonck B, Van Damme R, Aerts P (2002) Variation in speed, gait characteristics and microhabitat use in lacertid lizards. J Exp Biol 205: 1037– 1046.
- Harris DJ, Arnold EN, Thomas RH (1998) Relationships of lacertid lizards (Reptilia: Lacertidae) estimated from mitochondrial DNA sequences and morphology. Proc R Soc Lond B. 265: 1939–1948.
- Arnold EN (2002) History and function of scale microornamentation in lacertid lizards. J Morph 252: 145–169.
- Arnold EN (1994) Do ecological analogues assemble their common features in the same order? An investigation of regularities in evolution, using sand-dwelling lizards as examples. Phil Trans Biol Sci 344(1309): 277–290.
- Jacobsen NHG (1987) Notes on reproduction in *Ichnotropis squamulosa* and interspecific competition with *I. capensis* (Reptilia, Lacertidae) in the Transvaal. J Herp Assoc Afr 33(1): 13–17.
- Goldberg SR (2008) Reproductive cycle of the common rough-scaled lizard, *Ichnotropis squamulosa* (Squamata: Lacertidae) from southern Africa. Texas J Sci 60(3): 1–6.
- 74. Broadley D-G (1979) A field study of sympatric "annual" lizards (genus *Ichnotropis*) in Rhodesia. S Afr J Zool 14: 133–138.
- Irschick DJ, Meyers JJ, Husak JF, Le Galliard J-F (2008) How does selection operate on whole-organism functional performance capacities? A review and synthesis. Evol Ecol Res 10: 177–196.